LAWFOYER INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF DOCTRINAL LEGAL RESEARCH

(ISSN: 2583-7753)

Volume 2 | Issue 3

2024

© 2024 LawFoyer International Journal of Doctrinal Legal Research

Follow this and additional research works at: www.lijdlr.com Under the Platform of LawFoyer – www.lawfoyer.in

After careful consideration, the editorial board of LawFoyer International Journal of Doctrinal LegalResearch has decided to publish this submission as part of the publication.

In case of any suggestions or complaints, kindly contact info.lijdlr@gmail.com

To submit your Manuscript for Publication in the LawFoyer International Journal of DoctrinalLegal Research, To submit your Manuscript Click here

RIGHT TO PRIVACY - EXPLORE ITS IMPLICATIONS IN THE DIGITAL AGE

Spriha Bisht1

I. ABSTRACT

The right to privacy is a fundamental human right that has evolved significantly over time in response to changing societal values and technological advancements. This paper aims to investigate the concept and articulation of privacy, trace its historical development through landmark legal cases, and identify the challenges facing privacy in the digital age.

The rapid growth in surveillance and data proliferation raises major concerns for individual privacy, necessitating a thorough examination of the current legal frameworks and regulations intended to protect this right. While laws have been enacted in various jurisdictions to control the use of personal data, inconsistencies and inadequacies persist.

This research draws from existing literature and case law to highlight the ongoing tension between the right to privacy and society's demands for security. The paper advocates for reforming legal protections and ethical guidelines that support privacy and applying those guidelines in a manner that not only preserves and protects privacy but also upholds it in light of advancements in state surveillance capabilities.

In conclusion, the right to privacy faces significant challenges in the digital age, and there is an urgent need for comprehensive legal reforms and ethical guidelines to safeguard this fundamental human right. Policymakers must strike a balance between individual privacy and societal security, ensuring that privacy is protected without compromising legitimate security concerns.

¹ Student at Christ Deemed To Be University Pune, Lavasa.

II. KEYWORDS:

Right to privacy, Fundamental human right, and Digital age challenges Legal frameworks, Surveillance concerns, Data protection

III. INTRODUCTION

A. Definition and Concept of the Right to Privacy

The research paper "Right to Privacy - Explore Its Implications in the Digital Age" gives readers a full picture of the complex nature of privacy rights in today's tech world. It starts by stressing how important privacy is in the digital era. Then, it looks at how courts have recognized privacy through big cases that have made it a basic right. Real-life examples show what happens when privacy is breached. A history section traces how privacy rights have changed over time. The paper also talks about current issues in protecting privacy as tech moves forward. It looks at the laws and rules that govern privacy now.

The paper discusses the ethics of collecting data and watching people focusing on what companies and governments should do., it examines the ongoing clash between personal privacy rights and what's needed for national security. To wrap up, it stresses the need for privacy protections that can adapt to the digital age. In the Indian Constitution, the right to privacy is not an express right, but in Supreme Court jurisprudence, it has been recognized as an inbuilt component of the right to life and personal liberty under ²Article 21 of the Indian Constitution. ³ Article 21 of the Indian Constitution states: "No one will be deprived of their life or private liberty unless it is in the procedure established by law." 86 this provision has been given an expansive interpretation by the Supreme Court, which has read the right to privacy into ⁴Article 21.

a) JUDICIAL RECOGNITION

² India Const. art. 21

³ ibid

⁴ ibid

In ⁵Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) vs. Union of India, the Supreme Court held that the right to privacy is a fundamental right guaranteed under ⁶Article 21. The right to privacy has been held as a part of the right to life and personal liberty under ⁷Article 21 of the Constitution. That court noted, "The right to privacy is a part of the right to life and personal liberty guaranteed by ⁸Article 21 of the Constitution." This judgment was significant in that it framed the right to privacy not as a mere physical or spatial right but as a fundamental right that is essential to autonomy and human dignity. The court held that this right is a constitutional right that protects against intrusion into a person's personal space, information privacy (data protection), and the ability of individuals to make personal decisions. That is, it protects personal autonomy and personal choice concerning the most personal issues that arise in the life of an individual.

b) COMPONENTS OF THE RIGHT TO PRIVACY

- ⁹Physical Privacy: Protects against intrusion into one's personal space.
- Informational Privacy: Provides individuals with control over their personal information and data.
- Decisional Privacy: Grants an individual's freedom to make personal decisions without interference. This includes matters of marriage, family, and sexual orientation.

c) EXAMPLES AND IMPLICATIONS

• Privacy has been a significant concern as the evolving technology includes: The apprehensions regarding personal data collection and processing by governments as well as corporations. The ¹⁰draft Personal

⁵ Justice K.S. Puttaswamy & Anr. Vs. Union of India & Ors. AIR 2017 SC 4161 (India)

⁶ India Const. art. 21.

⁷ ibid

⁸ ibid

⁹ The Right to Privacy in the Digital Age: Meeting Report 1 (2014)

¹⁰ Digital Personal Data Protection Bill, 2023, No. 86 of 2023 (India) (introduced in Lok Sabha Aug. 3, 2023).

Data Protection Bill aims to enhance privacy and data protection in India, focusing on the individual rights and consent of user data.

- The Right to Privacy in Surveillance Laws: In the context of national security, the right to privacy conflicts with the state's prerogative to conduct surveillance. The Supreme Court has stated that any limitations to the right of privacy need to be both constitutional and serve a valid aim. The Aadhaar scheme is one example, in which individuals are required to authenticate their identity to receive welfare benefits. In both ¹¹Shayara Bano v. Union of India (2017) and ¹²Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd) v. Union of India, the concern was to balance the right to privacy against concerns of national security and the collection of biometric data.
- The Right to Privacy in social media online platforms: It raises the question of 'data privacy: A turning point is the judgment of ¹³Shreya Singhal v. Union of India (2015), where the Supreme Court invalidated ¹⁴Section 66A of the Information Technology Act as unconstitutional for violating both the right to free speech and the right to privacy.
- Contemporary developments: Privacy as a concern can be understood through a legal, social, and technological lens. The recognition of the right to privacy on the part of the Supreme Court of India has led to critical reflections on data protection violations. It has necessitated a move to a more comprehensive data protection policy.

IV. HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE RIGHT TO PRIVACY

A. Landmark Legal Cases

The development of the right to privacy in India's history is a difficult story. This story is a mirror image of the development of the law and the ideals of society as a whole. When the Indian Constitution was initially created, there was no express right to

¹¹ Shayara Bano v. Union of India and Ors. AIR 2017 SC 4609 (India)

¹² Justice K.S. Puttaswamy & Anr. vs. Union of India & Ors. AIR 2017 SC 4161 (India)

¹³ Shreya Singhal v. Union of India (2015), SC 1523 (India)

¹⁴ Information Technology Act, No. 21 of (2000)

privacy provision, but the right to privacy has been developed through influential court judgments. In the end, the courts have said that it is a constitutional right.

a) EARLY JUDICIAL INTERPRETATIONS

In the case of ¹⁵M.P. Sharma v. Satish Chandra (1954), the Supreme Court examined some of the aspects related to search and seizure. ¹⁶The Supreme Court clarified the legality of police action in contrast to individual privacy rights, setting apart individual rights in the broad context of the uniform state interest. Additionally, privacy was viewed as a social or moral value, not a constitutional or legal right as the Supreme Court did not recognize privacy as a fundamental right.

In ¹⁷Kharak Singh v. State of Uttar Pradesh (1964), the Supreme Court took a look at how the police kept watch on people. ¹⁸The Court saw that privacy mattered, but in the end, it said privacy wasn't a basic right. This decision showed the push and pull between what people can do and what the government can do. It gives us a peek into how the law saw things back then.

b) LANDMARK JUDGEMENT

A major shift happened when ¹⁹Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd) v. Union of India (2017) came about. Nine Supreme Court judges all agreed that the right to privacy was a basic right under ²⁰Article 21 of the Constitution. ²¹The Court stressed that privacy is key to human dignity and freedom setting up a strong system to protect privacy in India. This ruling changed the legal scene showing that privacy is crucial for using other basic rights, like being able to speak and have personal freedom. After this, the Aadhaar case (2018) challenged whether the Aadhaar Act was constitutional. This case made the right to privacy even stronger. The Supreme Court decided that collecting

¹⁵ M.P. Sharma v. Satish Chandra, AIR 1954 SC 300. (India)

¹⁶ Shri B. Phani Kumar & Smt. Bela Routh, Right to Privacy, LARRDIS Lok Sabha Secretariat, New Delhi (2017)

¹⁷ Kharak Singh v. State of Uttar Pradesh, AIR 1964 SC 1295.(India)

¹⁸ Sargam Thapa, The Evolution of Right to Privacy in India, 10 Int'l J. Humanities & Soc. Sci. Invention 53 (2021).

¹⁹ Justice K.S. Puttaswamy & Anr. vs. Union of India & Ors. AIR 2017 SC 4161 (India)

²⁰ India Const. art. 21.

Nafeez Khan, Right to Privacy, Key Elements and Restrictions (Dec. 3, 2021), https://www.vedantu.com/civics/right-to-privacy.

biometric data without permission went against the right to privacy. This decision backed up what the Puttaswamy judgment had said.

V. CHALLENGES TO PRIVACY IN THE DIGITAL AGE

Privacy issues in India's digital era are getting more complicated driven by quick tech progress and people's growing dependence on online platforms. As the nation moves towards digital change, protecting people's privacy rights has turned into a big worry. This part talks about main problems such as how data is gathered, government watching, and what new tech means for privacy.

A. Data collection practices

The problems of privacy are increased with huge collections of personal data by both private and public so to say authorities. The ²²Act for Aadhaar, 2016 set up a biometric identification system which has also attracted criticism on how secure one's private details can be preserved. It has been claimed that mandatory linking of Aadhaar to certain services compromises people's right to privacy thus exposing them unnecessarily to a state kind of surveillance. Such practice was highly condemned in the Indian Supreme Court case of ²³Justice K.S Puttaswamy (Retd) v Union of India (2017) where it was held that there is a need for strict rules against any form of surveillance since privacy is a basic human right. Even though these ways may seem perfect; they are not enough because we still don't have any law dealing with the protection of all data.

B. Government Surveillance

Civil liberties, the Indian state's attitude towards surveillance has changed from being selective to mass surveillance. Surveillance technologies like CCTV cameras in urban settings and facial recognition have pervaded. Extensive surveillance systems have been set up in cities such as Delhi, which have thousands of cameras stationed in public areas. However, the question remains whether it is right to do this in the name

²² Aadhaar (Targeted Delivery of Financial and Other Subsidies, Benefits and Services) Act, No. 18 of 2016 (India).

²³ Justice K.S. Puttaswamy & Anr. vs. Union of India & Ors. AIR 2017 SC 4161 (India)

of safety as many people may not know how their information is being used or who has access to it.

Laws that support government oversight include the ²⁴Telegraph Act of 1885 and the²⁵ Information Technology Act of 2000 though critics believe they do not sufficiently safeguard citizens' privacy rights. These worries aim at controlling the bill on²⁶ Personal Data Protection (Digital) has been declared a ²⁷ Draft Bill since 2023, however, its clauses have been condemned for giving too much room for the state to utilize individual' personal information without others knowing anything about it.

C. Cybersecurity Threats

Cyber security threats are becoming more serious, and this adds an extra dimension to the issue of privacy in India. For instance, high-profile cases like the ²⁸Facebook-Cambridge Analytica scandal have exposed the weaknesses that are associated with companies dealing with personal information. These events bring forth ethical concerns regarding user consent and whether individuals know how their data is used. The absence of strong security measures may result in huge losses, both monetary and emotional, for people whose personal details become public knowledge

D. Emerging Technologies

The themes are such emerging technologies include artificial intelligence and the Internet of Things, which bring emerging privacy risks. AI uses large amounts of personal data and the issues of bias, transparency, and accountability of the data usage arise. Also, IoT devices gather personal information persistently and constantly and hence need to have sound privacy measures to counter security risks emanating from unauthorized access or other qualifying breaches.

²⁴ Indian Telegraph Act, No. 13 of 1885 (India).

²⁵ Information Technology Act, No. 21 of 2000 (India)

²⁶ Digital Personal Data Protection Bill, 2023, No. 86 of 2023 (India).

²⁷ Draft Personal Data Protection Bill, 2023 (India).

²⁸ Facebook-Cambridge Analytica scandal, 2018.

VI. LEGAL FRAMEWORKS AND REGULATIONS

India's legal system dealing with the acceptable kind of privacy has had many changes and now it takes into account a lot of today's digital world problems. This system consists of the laws of the constitution, decisions made by the legislature, and the courts that all serve one goal to protect individual privacy rights in our ever more connected world.

A. Constitutional Foundations

The Supreme Court recognized the right to privacy as a fundamental right for the first time in the historic case ²⁹Justice K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India (2017) which is a case concerning the government of India. The Court stated that the right to privacy is a necessary part of the right to life and personal liberty guaranteed by ³⁰Article 21 of the Indian Constitution, and it is also protected by ³¹Articles 14 (right to equality) and ³²19 (freedom of speech and expression). ³³This choice was a turning point in the protection of the individual's right to privacy against state surveillance and interference.

B. Legislative Framework

The ³⁴Information Technology Act, of 2000 (IT Act) was very early in terms of developing a legal framework dealing with the problems of cybercrime and data privacy in India. It creates the basis for recognizing e-documents and digital signatures in law, in addition to sensitive personal data provisions that deal with data protection. Yet, the IT Act is under fire for being too narrow and ineffective in combating multi-faceted data privacy dilemmas.

²⁹ Justice K.S. Puttaswamy & Anr. Vs. Union of India & Ors. AIR 2017 SC 4161 (India)

³⁰ India Const. art. 21.

³¹ India Const. art. 14.

³² India Const. art. 19.

³³ Dhriti Bole, Right to Privacy in Digital Age, MANUPATRA

^{(2022), &}lt;a href="https://articles.manupatra.com/article-details/Right-to-Privacy-in-Digital-Age">https://articles.manupatra.com/article-details/Right-to-Privacy-in-Digital-Age.

³⁴ Information Technology Act, No. 21 of 2000 (India)

C. Personal Data Protection Bill, 2019

The ³⁵Personal Data Protection Bill, 2019 (PDPB) aims to create a comprehensive data protection framework in India. Key aspects of the Bill include:

- **Data Fiduciaries:** Organizations must comply with strict standards when processing personal data.
- **Consent:** Clear consent from individuals is required before processing their data, enhancing personal control over information.
- Localization: Certain data must be stored within India to ensure security.
- **Data Protection Authority:** A regulatory body will oversee compliance, manage complaints, and enforce penalties for breaches.

Overall, the PDPB seeks to strengthen data protection rights and ensure individuals have greater control over their personal information in the digital landscape.

D. Surveillance and Privacy Concerns

The digital age has raised concerns what effects state surveillance could have on individual privacy. The rules that govern the surveillance activity of the government are called the "36Information Technology (Procedure and Safeguards for Interception, Monitoring, and Decryption of Information) Rules, 2009" These rules have received numerous criticisms for failing to be transparent and failing to hold the government accountable. The criticisms created concerns regarding mass surveillance and potential abuse by the state.

VII. IMPLICATIONS IN THE DIGITAL AGE

A. Data Collection by Tech Companies

³⁵ Digital Personal Data Protection Bill, 2023, No. 86 of 2023 (India).

³⁶ Information Technology (Procedure and Safeguards for Interception, Monitoring, and Decryption of Information) Rules, 2009, G.S.R. 781(E) (India) (notified on 11 December 2009).

The emergence of technology firms has led to an exponential rise in data collection practices.³⁷ Data, often largely personal data, are often collected by these companies for commercial purposes, creating ethical dilemmas around consent and misuse. The ³⁸Facebook-Cambridge Analytica scandal illustrates the risks of data practices that are not adequately regulated, thus requiring strict and comprehensive data protection laws to be installed.

B. Cross-Border Data Transfer

In today's digital world, global data flow is both a boon and a challenge. ³⁹The debate over data localization or cross-border data transfers, is important, as it raises several jurisprudential and cross-border data protection issues. ⁴⁰PDPB attempts to mitigate these challenges by introducing a definitive framework governing such international data flow.

VIII. CURRENT FRAMEWORK

The primary framework governing India's treatment of data privacy and data protection is established by the ⁴¹Indian Data Protection Act (DPDPA), which was enacted in August 2023. This legislation marks a significant milestone in India's approach to data privacy, and it lays down a comprehensive framework for the processing of personal data.

A. Scope and Applicability

The ⁴²Digital Personal Data Protection Act (DPDPA) applies to digital and non-digital personal data that will be digitalized. The primary goal of this act is to specify how personal information is gathered, processed, stored, and transmitted by businesses and agencies located in India. The Act required that personal data be obtained with

© 2024. LawFoyer International Journal of Doctrinal Legal Research

(ISSN: 2583-7753)

³⁷ Dr. G. Mallikarjun & B. Md. Irfan, Right to Privacy In India: The Technical And Legal Framework, 6 J. Positive Sch. Psychol. 5785 (2022).

³⁸ Facebook-Cambridge Analytica scandal, 2018.

³⁹ Bandita Das & Jayanta Boruah, Right to Privacy and Data Protection under Indian Legal Regime, 1 DME J.L. 56 (2021).

⁴⁰ Digital Personal Data Protection Bill, 2023, No. 86 of 2023 (India).

⁴¹ Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, No. 22 of 2023, Gazette of India (Aug. 11, 2023).

⁴² ibid

consent from the person it belongs to after the detailed information security standards have been considered and it is in the form of national privacy legislation in compliance with global expectations.

B. Regulatory Framework

The DPDPA creates a principles-based data protection compliance framework to replace the existing ⁴³Information Technology (Reasonable Security Practices and Procedures and Sensitive Personal Data or Information) Rules, 2011. The Act also mandates the establishment of a Data Protection Authority (DPA) to oversee compliance and adjudicate disputes related to data privacy.

C. Sectoral Regulations

⁴⁴The sectoral regulators like the ⁴⁵Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (IRDAI), ⁴⁶Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) along with ⁴⁷Reserve Bank of India tempted to galvanize their data protection measures. ⁴⁸The focus on cyber security, data storage, and privacy suggests a proactive virtual environment surrounding industrial privacy-specific issues.

IX. ETHICAL CONSIDERATION

The ethical considerations surrounding the right to privacy are increasingly relevant in today's digital landscape.

A. Ethical Perspectives on Privacy

The events and discussions surrounding prisoners' voting rights in India primarily took place during the following periods:

⁴³ Information Technology (Reasonable Security Practices and Procedures and Sensitive Personal Data or Information) Rules, 2011, G.S.R. 313(E) (India) (Apr. 11, 2011).

⁴⁴ Nishith Desai Associates Privacy and Data Protection in India: 2024 Watchlist and 2023 Wrap, https://nishithdesai.com/NewsDetails/14910.

⁴⁵ Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India, Home, https://irdai.gov.in (Aug. 17, 2024).

⁴⁶ Securities and Exchange Board of India, https://www.sebi.gov.in (Aug. 17, 2024).

⁴⁷ Reserve bank of India, https://www.rbi.org.in/ (Aug. 17, 2024)

⁴⁸ Namita Viswanath, Data Protection & Privacy 2024, Global Practice Guides (Feb. 13, 2024), https://practiceguides.chambers.com/practice-guides/data-protection-privacy-2024/india/trends-and-developments.

- a) Colonial Period: ⁴⁹The roots of the issue can be traced back to the British Raj when prisoners were deemed to have lost their civil liberties, leading to the withdrawal of their voting rights.
- **b) Post-Independence Era (1947 onward)**: ⁵⁰After India gained independence in 1947, the Constitution did not explicitly address prisoners' voting rights, leaving the matter unresolved for several decades.
 - 51Legislative Developments: 52Representation of the People Act, 1951: Initially, this Act did not include provisions for prisoners' voting rights, effectively disenfranchising them.
 - Supreme Court Rulings- Key rulings, such as ⁵³Anukul Chandra Pradhan v. Union of India (1997) and ⁵⁴Krishnamurthy Srinivas v. Union of India (2019), reaffirmed the discussion on the constitutional right to vote and the need for reforms regarding prisoners' voting rights. Amendments: ⁵⁵The Representation of the People (Amendment) Act, of 2010 aimed to allow prisoners to vote through postal facilities, though this was not effectively implemented.
 - Recent Developments: The ⁵⁶Voting Rights of Prisoners Bill,
 2019 was introduced in the Lok Sabha to provide clearer

⁴⁹ Centre for Applied Human Rights, Prisoners' Right to Vote: Balancing Civic Responsibility and Rehabilitation in India (2021), https://ppl-ai-file-upload.s3.amazonaws.com/web/direct-files/15099466/4fca0fe6-b60f-4c79-a4ff-20dd3594c55e/paste.txt.

⁵⁰ How Every Adult in Independent India Got the Right to Vote in 1947, THE HINDU (Oct. 25, 2023), https://www.thehindu.com/opinion/how-every-adult-in-independent-india-got-the-right-to-vote-in-1947/article6817073

⁵¹ Important Provisions of the Representation of People Act, 1951, BYJUS, https://byjus.com/free-ias-prep/important-provisions-of-the-representation-of-people-act-1951/ (18 August 24).

⁵² The Representation of the People Act, 1951, Act No. 43 of 1951 (India).

⁵³ Anukul Chandra Pradhan v. Union of India, Writ Petition (Crl.) No. 137 of 1996 (India)

⁵⁴ Krishnamurthy Srinivas v. Union of India, W.P. Nos. 21722 & 21728 of 2019 (India)

⁵⁵ The Representation of the People (Amendment) Act, 2010, No. 36 of 2010 (India).

⁵⁶ Voting Rights of Prisoners Bill, 2019

guidelines on voting rights for prisoners, reflecting ongoing debates about their civic responsibilities and rehabilitation.

- c) Emerging Technologies: The rise of technologies such as biometric identification and predictive algorithms presents new ethical challenges. These technologies can intrude on personal privacy and raise concerns about autonomy, justice, and the potential for harm. Ethical frameworks are needed to guide decision-making in the face of these challenges, ensuring that privacy is respected and protected⁵⁷.
- d) Compliance and Ethical Guidelines: Organizations must navigate the balance between ethical obligations and legal requirements in data privacy. Ethical guidelines call for respecting individuals' wishes about their data usage, but this can be complicated by regulatory demands and varying privacy preferences among individuals. Effective governance requires ongoing assessment of ethical practices in light of technological developments.
- e) If we see the ethical considerations in the right to privacy encompass a range of dimensions, including cultural perspectives, research ethics, and the implications of emerging technologies. As privacy concerns grow in the digital age, it is essential to develop robust ethical frameworks that protect individual rights while addressing the challenges posed by new technologies and societal changes.

X. BALANCING POWER AND SECURITY

The challenge of protecting privacy while ensuring national security is tough in today's digital world. ⁵⁸Governments now use high-tech tools to monitor electronic messages and data to control people. This reliance often sparks broader concerns

⁵⁷ IEEE Digital Privacy, Ethical Issues Related to Data Privacy and Security: Why We Must Balance Ethical and Legal Requirements in the Connected World, IEEE Digital Privacy (2023), https://digitalprivacy.ieee.org/publications/topics/ethical-issues-related-to-data-privacy-and-security-why-we-must-balance-ethical-and-legal-requirements-in-the-connected-world.

⁵⁸ Balancing Privacy and Security in the Digital Age, IEEE Digital Privacy https://digitalprivacy.ieee.org/publications/topics/balancing-privacy-and-security-in-the-digitalage.

about how collecting lots of data affects personal freedoms and democratic rights. Some argue that watching everyone doesn't make us safer. They say good information matters more than having tons of data. Laws often fail to protect individual rights. ⁵⁹To find a middle ground, we need to focus on being open about how things work and keeping an eye on the process. We should also limit surveillance to specific targets. Leaders need to get people talking about what's right and wrong. They must respect personal freedoms while dealing with real security needs.

XI. CONCLUSION

In today's digital landscape, the balance between privacy rights and national security has become increasingly critical. As technology evolves, the need for robust privacy legislation and ethical data practices emerges as a vital component in safeguarding individual rights. This paper explores the intricate relationship between privacy and security, emphasizing that effective privacy regulations not only protect personal freedoms but also enhance public safety by fostering sustained trust in digital systems. By examining the implications of these dimensions, we highlight the necessity of a nuanced approach that respects individual privacy while addressing the legitimate concerns of national security.

⁵⁹ How can we balance security and privacy in the digital world? Diplo (Apr. 20, 2023), https://www.diplomacy.edu/blog/how-can-we-balance-security-and-privacy-in-the-digital-world/.