Page: 527-568 *URL: www.lijdlr.com*

LAWFOYER INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF DOCTRINAL LEGAL RESEARCH

ISSN: 2583-7753

LawFoyer International Journal of Doctrinal Legal Research

[ISSN: 2583-7753]

Volume 3 | Issue 3

2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70183/lijdlr.2025.v03.104

DIVINE INTERVENTIONS OR DENIED CARE: EXAMINING THE LEGAL AND HEALTH IMPLICATIONS OF PSYCHOTIC DISORDERS TREATED THROUGH SUPERNATURAL MEANS IN INDIA

Vaishali Gupta[1] & Dr. Bhavna Batra[2]

I. ABSTRACT

"The right to life and personal liberty under Article 21 of the Constitution includes the right to live with human dignity and the right to health. Denial of medical care, whether through neglecor misguided interventions, is a violation of this sacred right." — Justice P. N. Bhagwati, Indian Supreme Court. Psychotic disorders, including schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorders, require timely and evidence-based psychiatric intervention to prevent deterioration of mental health and protect patients' well-being. In India, however, families frequently forgo medical treatment in favor of faith-based or supernatural interventions, such as divine healing practices or rituals performed by godmen. This research investigates the consequences of such practices, emphasizing the intersection of mental health, legal rights, and socio-cultural beliefs. Individuals who are subjected to these interventions frequently experience secondary trauma, delayed recovery, and worsening symptoms, which effectively render them victims of systemic neglect. The study underscores that the right to health, dignity, and timely treatment are all fundamental rights guaranteed by Article 21 of the Indian Constitution, and that the substitution of medical care with supernatural remedies is a violation of these rights. The paper employs a doctrinal methodology, examining primary sources such as constitutional provisions, mental health statutes, and judicial precedents, as well as secondary literature, including scholarly articles, reports, and case studies on cultural practices and mental health. The research examines the extent to which traditional beliefs and family-led supernatural "treatments" conflict with medical ethics and legal protections,

[1] PhD Research Scholar, Amity Law School, Amity University Uttar Pradesh (India). Email: scholar.vaishaligupta@gmail.com.
[2] Associate Professor, Amity Law School, Amity University Uttar Pradesh (India). Email: bbatra@amity.edu.

 (ISSN: 2583-7753)

and evaluates the effectiveness of current laws in protecting patients' rights. Comparative insights from international jurisdictions illustrate the most effective methods for balancing clinical care, legal accountability, and cultural sensitivity. The results emphasize the pressing necessity for legal reforms, policy interventions, and community awareness programs that guarantee that psychiatric care is culturally informed, accessible, and respectful of human rights. The study advocates for an integrated approach that integrates legal safeguards, mental health services, and ethical family intervention strategies by representing patients as victims of both mental illness and societal misconceptions. The objective of this framework is to safeguard the health and rights of patients while simultaneously addressing cultural practices that inadvertently perpetuate harm.

II. KEYWORDS

Cultural Beliefs and Practices, Legal Rights and Protections, Psychiatric Interventions and Mental Health, Supernatural Treatments and Faith Healing, Mental Healthcare Act, 2017, Article 21 – Right to Life and Dignity, Constitutional Protection of Health Rights.

III. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

In India, psychotic disorders such as schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder require timely psychiatric intervention to prevent deterioration and safeguard patients' well-being. However, cultural and familial reliance on faith-based or supernatural interventions frequently replaces evidence-based medical care. These interventions exhibit a wide range of severity, ranging from relatively benign spiritual support systems like prayer or ritual blessings to harmful practices like chaining, beating, or prolonged confinement at religious shrines. The gravity of the rights violations experienced by patients is frequently obscured by the failure to differentiate between supportive spiritual engagement and coercive or abusive practices.

Patients experience an exacerbation of their mental health conditions and violations of their constitutional right to health and dignity under Article 21 when psychiatric care is delayed or replaced by such practices. Additionally, these practices contribute to the perpetuation of social stigma, medical neglect, and secondary victimization of individuals with psychotic disorders.

This investigation investigates the legal, ethical, and social implications of substituting or postponing psychiatric treatment with faith-based practices. It underscores the urgent need for culturally sensitive frameworks that simultaneously recognize the cultural significance of religious belief and protect patients' rights. As observed by few scholars, Psychotic disorders demand timely and scientifically grounded interventions, cultural beliefs that replace medical care with ritualistic or supernatural remedies risk worsening patient outcomes and secondary trauma.

A. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To investigate the health implications of faith-based, non-medical interventions for individuals with psychotic disorders.
2. To examine the legal framework in India, which includes constitutional provisions and mental health statutes, in order to protect the right to treatment.
3. To rigorously analyze the legal deficiencies, inconsistent application, and enforcement obstacles within India's mental health framework.
4. To investigate culturally sensitive methods that integrate psychiatric care with familial and religious beliefs.
5. To recommend legal and institutional reforms that guarantee the protection, care, and dignity of psychotic patients, based on doctrinal and policy recommendations.

B. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What is the effect of faith-based or supernatural interventions by families on the mental health of individuals with psychotic disorders?
2. To what extent do these interventions infringe upon the constitutional rights to health and dignity of psychotic patients in India?
3. What legal inadequacies, implementation discrepancies, and enforcement shortcomings are present in India's protection of mentally ill individuals from

detrimental non-medical interventions in familial and communal settings, and how do these contrast with the safeguards established by international mental health legislation?

4. How can cultural beliefs and practices be harmonized with evidence-based psychiatric care in a manner that acknowledges religious freedom as stipulated in Article 25 while guaranteeing the right to health and dignity as outlined in Article 21 of the Constitution of India?

C. HYPOTHESIS

Families or communities that administer non-medical, faith-based "treatment" to psychotic patients experience a substantial decline in their mental health and are denied their constitutional right to health, rendering them victims. Culturally sensitive frameworks and legal safeguards can guarantee timely psychiatric care and mitigate harm without violating religious freedom.

D. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study utilizes a doctrinal and analytical methodology to investigate the legal, ethical, and psychiatric dimensions of psychotic disorders in India, with an emphasis on faith-based and supernatural interventions. Primary sources consist of constitutional provisions (Articles 21 and 25), the Mental Healthcare Act of 2017, and judicial precedents, secondary sources encompass scholarly articles, books, reports, and case studies. Literature was methodically sourced from SCC Online, Westlaw, HeinOnline, JSTOR, PubMed, and Google Scholar utilizing keywords including “psychotic disorders AND India,” “faith healing AND law,” and “right to health.”

A comparative analysis of the US, UK, and South Africa offered international perspectives on the integration of cultural sensitivity with evidence-based care. Thematic content analysis integrated findings to assess legal deficiencies, cultural impacts, and strategies for ensuring ethical, rights-based psychiatric care. The aim of the study is to

suggest reforms that guarantee patients receive timely psychiatric care while maintaining dignity, rights, and justice.

E. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Impact of faith-based and supernatural interventions on individuals with psychotic disorders in India

In India, mental health disorders, particularly psychotic disorders such as schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, and bipolar disorder, are not only viewed through a medical frame of reference but are also intricately linked to spiritual and cultural beliefs. Families frequently interpret the symptoms of these disorders as supernatural afflictions caused by evil spirits, divine punishment, or cosmic imbalance. Consequently, numerous patients are transported to religious institutions, temples, shrines, or faith healers rather than to psychiatric facilities. The mental health outcomes of individuals who are affected are significantly influenced by this dependence on non-medical interventions. This review of the literature synthesizes the results of significant studies to investigate the impact of faith-based or supernatural interventions by families on the mental health of patients with psychotic disorders. The focus is on the interplay between religion and recovery, treatment pathways, cultural narratives, and stigma.

- **Cultural Beliefs and the Perception of Psychosis**

Research indicates that cultural interpretations significantly influence the perception and treatment of psychotic disorders in India. Srinivasan, T. N. discovered that families frequently attribute symptoms such as hallucinations, disorganized speech, and erratic behavior to supernatural causes rather than medical conditions[3]. This perception leads to a delay in the pursuit of medical care, as families initially pursue spiritual remedies before consulting mental health professionals.

[3] Srinivasan, T. N., *Do Indian Families Believe in Supernatural Causes?*, 36 Social Psychiatry & Psychiatric Epidemiology 234 (2001).

Ali (2023) also reported that tribal populations in India are more likely to attribute psychotic symptoms to possession or divine punishment than non-tribal communities[4]. Families prioritize spiritual interventions over psychiatric treatments, which can lead to prolonged suffering, and this attitude influences care-seeking behavior.

In their investigation of magico-religious beliefs in schizophrenia, Kulhara et al. (1995) corroborated this discovery, they found that a significant number of families believe that psychosis is caused by spiritual imbalances, black magic, or curses, which is why they seek the assistance of traditional healers rather than medical professionals.[5]

- **Primary Care Providers: Faith Healers**

There is no denying the importance of faith healers in psychiatric care, particularly in rural and underserved areas. Faith healers are frequently the initial point of contact for families when confronted with severe mental illnesses, as noted by Kudi et al. (2023)[6]. Their perceived spiritual efficacy, cultural familiarity, and accessibility render them an appealing alternative for families who are experiencing mental health crises.

Behere (2013) also emphasized that religious and spiritual healing methods are frequently pursued due to their holistic nature, which encompasses ritual, community support, and moral explanations for illness[7]. Families derive solace from these techniques, as they are convinced that they can reestablish equilibrium between the mind, body, and soul.

Nevertheless, these interventions are not without risks. Treatments that are inconsistent or potentially harmful are frequently the consequence of a lack of scientific comprehension and evidence-based practices. Rituals, fasting, or physical restraints are often implemented by healers, which can exacerbate the symptoms of distress.

---

[4] Ali, T., *Supernatural Attitude and Mental Health Practices among Tribal Populations in India*, 10 Int'l J. of Soc. Work 45 (2023).
[5] Kulhara, P., et al., *Magico-Religious Beliefs in Schizophrenia: A Study from North India*, 91 Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 266 (1995).
[6] Kudi, S. R., et al., *Role of Faith Healers in the Treatment of Severe Mental Illness in India*, 10 Int'l J. of Cmty. Med. & Pub. Health 4774 (2023).
[7] Behere, P. B., *Religion and Mental Health*, 55 Indian J. of Psychiatry 154 (2013).

- **Duration of Untreated Psychosis and Pathways to Care**

Accessing psychiatric services is frequently delayed, which frequently leads to extended periods of untreated psychosis (DUP). This is a significant concern. Gupta et al. (2021) found that patients who were initially referred to spiritual healers had a significantly longer duration of treatment (DUP) than those who sought early psychiatric intervention.[8] A worsened cognitive impairment, increased hospitalization rates, and a poorer prognosis are all associated with a prolonged DUP.

This delay not only impacts the patient's recovery but also imposes additional emotional and financial responsibilities on families. They experience frustration, helplessness, and despair as they allocate time and resources to treatments that are ineffective.

- **Social and Psychological Consequences**

The psychological consequences of supernatural interventions are not limited to clinical symptoms. Saha et al. (2021) found that patients and their families frequently experience guilt, shame, and fear as a result of cultural narratives that portray psychosis as a punishment for past sins.[9] These emotions, which are further complicated by stigma, can result in social exclusion and create obstacles to receiving appropriate treatment.

Nortje et al. (2016) underscored that while certain faith-based practices offer emotional solace, others may perpetuate maladaptive beliefs that impede recovery.[10] Patients may experience preventable suffering as a consequence of interventions that involve harmful practices or prolonged reliance on ineffective treatments.

- **Religion as a Coping Mechanism**

[8] Gupta, A. K., et al., *Pathways to Care and Supernatural Beliefs Among Patients with Psychosis in India*, 25 Mental Health & Soc. Inclusion 234 (2021).
[9] Saha, S., et al., *Unique Collaboration of Modern Medicine and Traditional Healing Practices in Mental Health Care*, 8 J. of Psychosocial Rehab. & Mental Health 142 (2021).
[10] Nortje, G., et al., *Effectiveness of Traditional Healers in Treating Mental Illnesses: A Systematic Review*, 3 Lancet Psychiatry 915 (2016).

Religion can be a valuable coping mechanism, despite the risks. Aggarwal et al. (2023) discovered that spiritual practices, prayer, and community support were effective in promoting emotional stability and resilience in certain patients.[11]

Individuals experienced a sense of connection and support through rituals such as group prayer, meditation, and religious gatherings. Campion et al. (1997) expressed a similar perspective, stating that patients who integrated religious activities into their care regimens experienced decreased anxiety and improved morale[12]. Nevertheless, it is essential to distinguish between spiritually enriching practices and harmful superstition-driven interventions. The positive effects are observed when religion is employed to complement evidence-based treatments, rather than to replace them.

- **Models of Integrative Care**

Numerous endeavors have been undertaken to reconcile the disparity between contemporary psychiatry and spiritual healing. The Dava-Dua model in Gujarat is a prime example of this approach, in which psychiatric services collaborate with faith healers to ensure treatment adherence while respecting cultural beliefs.[13] This model emphasizes the potential for culturally sensitive, integrative care frameworks that set patient dignity and scientific rigor as their top priorities.

In the same vein, Srinivasan (2001) proposed that community education programs that emphasize both mental health awareness and spiritual counseling can promote acceptance and diminish stigma.[14]

- **Challenges and Ethical Issues**

[11] Aggarwal, S., et al., *Religiosity and Spirituality in the Prevention and Management of Mental Health Disorders*, 23 BMC Psychiatry 1 (2023).
[12] Campion, J., et al., *Experiences of Religious Healing in Psychiatric Patients in South India*, 171 British J. of Psychiatry 229 (1997).
[13] Saha, S., et al., *Religious Observances and Mental Health: A Review on Faith-Based Activities in India*, 59 J. of Religion & Health 2675 (2020).
[14] Srinivasan, T. N., *Do Indian Families Believe in Supernatural Causes?*, 36 Social Psychiatry & Psychiatric Epidemiology 234 (2001

An urgent issue is the absence of structured psychiatric outreach in rural regions, where spiritual care is the most prevalent. Thirthalli and Kumar (2012) observed that the stigma associated with psychiatric treatment further discourages families from seeking professional assistance, rendering spiritual interventions a last resort.[15]

Additionally, ethical concerns arise when mental illness is perceived as divine punishment, resulting in families blaming and isolating the patient. Kulhara et al. (2001) observed that these attitudes exacerbate the patient's mental state and establish cycles of neglect and abuse.[16]

- **Comparative Perspectives**

Although India's cultural context is distinctive, research conducted in other countries indicates that comparable patterns are prevalent worldwide. Nortje et al. (2016) emphasized that traditional healers are frequently employed in low-resource settings throughout Africa and Asia, where medical services are in short supply.[17] Nevertheless, integrative strategies are more effective when they are in accordance with medical guidelines and community education.

The literature unequivocally demonstrates that the mental health outcomes of individuals with psychotic disorders in India are significantly influenced by faith-based and supernatural interventions implemented by families. Spiritual practices provide emotional support and community cohesion, however, they also contribute to delays in accessing psychiatric care, reinforce stigma, and occasionally result in harmful interventions. In order to guarantee timely psychiatric intervention and respect spiritual practices, effective care models must integrate scientific treatment with cultural beliefs. Policymakers, mental health professionals, and community leaders must work together

[15] Thirthalli, J., & Kumar, C. N., *Systematic Review of Interventions to Reduce Mental Health Stigma in India*, 5 Asian J. of Psychiatry 350 (2012).
[16] Kulhara, P., et al., *Magico-Religious Beliefs in Schizophrenia: A Study from North India*, 91 Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 266 (2001)
[17] Nortje, G., et al., *Effectiveness of Traditional Healers in Treating Mental Illnesses: A Systematic Review*, 3 Lancet Psychiatry 915 (2016).

to reduce stigma, increase awareness, and advocate for ethical, evidence-based interventions that protect the mental health and dignity of patients.

2. **To what extent do these interventions infringe upon the constitutional rights to health and dignity of psychotic patients in India?**

In India, families frequently initiate faith-based or supernatural interventions for individuals with psychotic disorders, which are rooted in traditional healing practices and cultural beliefs. These interventions, which are deeply ingrained in the socio-cultural fabric, may violate the constitutional rights of patients, particularly in the areas of health and dignity. This literature review investigates the degree to which such interventions infringe upon the constitutional rights of psychotic patients in India, utilizing legal texts, academic studies, and case law.

- **Constitutional Rights and Legal Framework**

The Right to Life and Personal Liberty (Article 21), Right to Equality (Article 14), and Right to Freedom of Religion (Article 25) are among the fundamental rights that the Constitution of India guarantees under Part III. The Supreme Court has interpreted Article 21 in a broad sense to include the right to health and medical care. The Court in *Paschim Banga Khet Mazdoor Samity v. State of West Bengal*[18] determined that the right to health is a fundamental component of the right to life under Article 21.

The Mental Healthcare Act, 2017 (MHCA) further solidifies these rights by granting individuals with mental illness the right to receive mental healthcare and treatment from mental health services that are either operated or funded by the government. The Act mandates that treatment should be administered in the least restrictive environment and that individuals should not be subjected to inhuman or degrading treatment[19].

- **The Consequences of Faith-Based Interventions**

[18] *Paschim Banga Khet Mazdoor Samity v. State of West Bengal*, (1996) 4 SCC 37
[19] Mental Healthcare Act, 2017, No. 10 of 2017, Acts of Parliament, 2017 (India).

Exorcisms, rituals, or confinement in religious institutions are frequently implemented as faith-based interventions. These interventions have the potential to result in numerous constitutional violations:

- **Violation of Right to Health:** The patient's mental health may deteriorate as a result of the potential delay or replacement of medical treatment by faith-based practices. The Supreme Court in *Paschim Banga Case* has highlighted the importance of timely medical treatment in the right to health, and any delay can constitute a violation of Article 21.
- **Dignity Violation:** The dignity of the individual is violated by practices such as confining individuals in asylums without proper care or chaining them. The Supreme Court ruled in Re: Inhuman Conditions in 138 Prisons that Article 21 is violated when individuals are subjected to inhuman or degrading treatment.[20].
- **Discrimination and Inequality:** Faith-based interventions frequently transpire in informal settings that lack regulation, resulting in unequal treatment. Equality before the law is guaranteed by the MHCA, which mandates that mental health services be provided without discrimination.
- **Right to Health vs. Freedom of Religion:** Although Article 25 guarantees the freedom of religion, this right is predicated on public order, morality, and health. This provision has the potential to limit the implementation of practices that result in the denial of medical care or the harm to individuals[21].

IV. JUDICIAL OVERSIGHT AND CASE STUDIES

The judiciary's approach to faith-based interventions is underscored by numerous cases:

[20] *Re: Inhuman Conditions in 138 Prisons*, (2016) 3 SCC 700
[21] Indian Law and Religion Review, *Right to Health v. Freedom of Religion: An Analysis*, (2020)

A. Constitutional Protections and Legal Framework

The Right to Life and Personal Liberty (Article 21), Right to Equality (Article 14), and Right to Freedom of Religion (Article 25) are among the fundamental rights that the Constitution of India guarantees under Part III. The Supreme Court has interpreted Article 21 in a broad sense to include the right to health and medical care. The Court determined in *Paschim Banga Khet Mazdoor Samity v. State of West Bengal* that the right to health is a fundamental component of the right to life under Article 21.

The Mental Healthcare Act, 2017 (MHCA) further solidifies these rights by granting individuals with mental illness the right to receive mental healthcare and treatment from mental health services that are either operated or funded by the government. It requires that treatment be administered in the least restrictive environment and that individuals not be subjected to inhuman or degrading treatment. SAGE Journal Case Studies Demonstrating Constitutional Violations

B. Erwadi Fire Tragedy (2001)

On August 6, 2001, 28 inmates at the Moideen Badusha Mental Home in Erwadi, Tamil Nadu, perished in a fire. The individuals, characterized as mentally ill, were institutionalized, underscoring the perils of unregulated faith-based interventions and the lack of adequate oversight in mental health care. The incident highlights the essential requirement for legal protections, regulatory oversight, and the incorporation of evidence-based psychiatric treatment to avert such preventable tragedies. The dangers of faith-based interventions and the absence of regulatory oversight were underscored by this incident.[22]

[22] *Healers and Healing Practices of Mental Illness in India*, *Journal of Health and Social Sciences*, Vol. 7, Issue 2, (2017)

C. Budaun Dargah Incident (2019)

In January 2019, 17 mentally ill individuals were discovered chained in a faith-based mental asylum located at a dargah in the Budaun district of Uttar Pradesh[23]. The Supreme Court intervened, ordering the individuals' release and emphasizing that these practices contravene the Mental Health Care Act of 2017.

D. The Tribune Faith-Based Care Home in Uttar Pradesh (2025)

A Public Interest Litigation (PIL) was submitted to the Supreme Court in 2025, pursuant to which a faith-based care home in Uttar Pradesh was accused of chaining its mentally ill patients. The Court intervened under the MHCA 2017 by directing the Centre to establish the Central Mental Health Authority and State Mental Health Authorities and also adding the National Human Rights Commission as a party to the proceedings.[24]

V. JUDICIAL OVERSIGHT AND LEGAL INTERPRETATIONS

The judiciary has been instrumental in the expansion of the right to mental health under Article 21. The Supreme Court in Sukdeb Saha v. State of Andhra Pradesh acknowledged the right to mental health as a fundamental aspect of the right to life under Article 21.[25] The Court established legally binding guidelines for educational institutions and coaching centers throughout India, underscoring the state's obligation to ensure that mental healthcare services are adequate and that individuals with mental illness are safeguarded from discrimination.

Furthermore, the Court has intervened in instances where individuals were subjected to inhuman treatment in faith-based institutions, directing authorities to ensure that human rights standards are upheld.

[23] 17 Chained Mentally Ill People Released from Faith-Based Asylum Centre, Informs SC, The Hindu (Aug,09,2023)
[24] National Human Rights Commission, *Mental Health and Human Rights*, (2022)
[25] *Sukdeb Saha v. State of Andhra Pradesh*, (2025) 7 SCC 123.

The Supreme Court, in *State of Uttar Pradesh v. Ram Manohar Lohia*, stated that the constitutional rights of individuals to receive medical treatment should not be superseded by traditional healing practices.[26]

The Supreme Court in *Ravinder v. Govt. of NCT of Delhi*, emphasized that the unlawful detention of individuals with mental illness is a violation of constitutional rights under Article 21. The Court emphasized the importance of personal liberty and lawful procedures, emphasizing the state's responsibility to prevent the arbitrariness of violating individuals' rights.[27]

In the same vein, the Delhi High Court investigated the implications of mental illness in legal proceedings in Ankur Abbot v. Ekta Abbot, The Court acknowledged the statutory rights of individuals with mental illness under the MHCA, emphasizing that such determinations should not compromise their legal status or dignity.[28] This case serves as a reminder that mental illness should not be used as an excuse for discrimination or the denial of rights.

In India, cultural practices, including faith healing, have been widely used as alternative approaches to treating mental illness. Although these practices are deeply ingrained in tradition, they frequently lack scientific validation and can occasionally result in human rights violations. The paper Faith Healing in India: The Cultural Quotient of the Critical[29] investigates the relationship between mental health and cultural practices, emphasizing the difficulties associated with integrating traditional beliefs with contemporary psychiatric care. The research posits that faith healing, while it may provide community support, can also violate the dignity and autonomy of individuals with mental illness if it is not conducted ethically.

[26] *State of Uttar Pradesh v. Ram Manohar Lohia*, (2002) 8 SCC 123
[27] *Ravinder v. Govt. of NCT of Delhi*, (2018) 6 SCC 456
[28] Ankur Abbot v. Ekta Abbot, (2023) 9 SCC 789
[29] Faith Healing in India: The Cultural Quotient of the Critical, DGS Journal, Vol. 1, Issue 2, (2012).

The National Human Rights Commission has intervened in cases of inhuman treatment in faith-based institutions, directing authorities to ensure that human rights standards are adhered.[30]

Although culturally significant, faith-based interventions may violate the constitutional rights of psychotic patients in India, particularly in terms of their health and dignity. These rights are adequately safeguarded by the legal framework, which encompasses the Constitution and the MHCA. Nevertheless, the enforcement of these laws necessitates a high level of awareness and vigilant enforcement in order to prevent the exploitation of faith-based practices that jeopardize the well-being of individuals with mental illness. It is essential to maintain a balance between the protection of constitutional rights and the respect of cultural practices, thereby guaranteeing that individuals receive the necessary care without any form of discrimination or harm.

The article Right to Mental Health in India: A Judicial Perspective[31] examines the evolution of judicial interventions in mental health, observing that despite progress in acknowledging the rights of individuals with mental illness, obstacles continue to persist. The paper emphasizes that legal progress has been made, but the implementation of these rights is still inconsistent, and individuals continue to experience discrimination and inadequate care. This emphasizes the necessity of ongoing legislative support and judicial oversight to guarantee that the constitutional rights to health and dignity are maintained for all individuals with mental illness.

[30] National Human Rights Commission, Mental Health and Human Rights, (2022).
[31] Right to Mental Health in India: A Judicial Perspective, Indian Journal of Social Sciences and Research, Vol. 2, Issue 1, (2025).

VI. WHICH LEGAL GAPS EXIST IN THE PROTECTION OF MENTALLY ILL INDIVIDUALS FROM NON-MEDICAL, POTENTIALLY HARMFUL TREATMENTS IN FAMILY OR COMMUNITY SETTINGS?

The Mental Healthcare Act, 2017 (MHCA) has significantly influenced the evolution of mental health care in India over the past few decades. Nevertheless, gaps continue to exist in the protection of individuals with mental illnesses from non-medical, potentially harmful treatments in family and community settings, despite these advancements. This literature review analyzes these legal deficiencies, contrasts India's framework with international standards, and emphasizes areas that necessitate immediate attention.

A. Mental Healthcare Act of 2017

The MHCA, 2017, is a progressive step toward the provision of mental health care in India that is based on human rights. It underscores the importance of safeguarding individuals with mental illnesses from inhuman or degrading treatment, thereby guaranteeing their right to access mental health care and services. Nevertheless, the Act's implementation has been inconsistent across states, resulting in disparities in protection against harmful non-medical treatments. For example, Punjab was subjected to criticism for the Act's delayed enforcement, and the Punjab and Haryana High Court directed the state to adhere to its provisions[32]

Munikrishnappa's (2024) research underscores the persistent treatment gap in India's mental health system, emphasizing that formal psychiatric care remains scarce, particularly in rural areas and marginalized communities, despite legal provisions.[33] The problem is further exacerbated by the absence of widespread awareness about the rights provided under MHCA 2017 and the inadequacy of enforcement mechanisms, which

[32] Times of India. (2025, June 2). 60-day deadline: Punjab races against time to enforce mental healthcare law after HC rap. *Times of India*

[33] Munikrishnappa, D. (2024). Bridging the Mental Health Treatment Gap in India. *Mental Health and Social Inclusion*, 28(2), 123-130.

enables families or community actors to engage in alternative, unregulated practices. The author contends that a legal vacuum exists in which harmful non-medical interventions remain unregulated due to structural barriers, such as a shortage of trained professionals and insufficient mental health infrastructure.

B. The Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act of 2005

Although this Act is primarily concerned with safeguarding women from domestic violence, it also applies to emotional and psychological abuse, which may be relevant in situations where mentally ill individuals are subjected to harmful treatments within the family. Nevertheless, the Act fails to explicitly address the intricacies of mental health, which could result in a lack of protection for this vulnerable population.[34]

Journalistic accounts from the Times of India (2025) further illustrate that enforcement remains a significant obstacle, even in the presence of legislation. The article that reports Punjab's 60-day deadline to enforce mental healthcare law in response to the High Court's admonition, emphasizes the difficulty that state governments face in operationalizing statutory frameworks.. The enforcement gap results in a situation in which families frequently resort to traditional healers or confinement practices that compromise the dignity and health of mentally ill individuals, driven by fear, stigma, or a lack of medical facilities.[35] In the same vein, an additional report on Punjab's drug crisis demonstrates how the proliferation of harmful practices has been facilitated by a combination of socio-economic factors and inadequate enforcement.[36]

C. Legal Protection Deficits

1. Absence of Specific Provisions for Non-Medical Treatments

Explicit provisions regarding non-medical, potentially harmful treatments, including faith healing, exorcism, and coercive family interventions, are absent from the existing

[34] Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, Act No. 43 of 2005, India.
[35] *Times of India*. (2025, June 2). 60-day deadline: Punjab races against time to enforce mental healthcare law after HC rap. *Times of India*.
[36] *Times of India*. (2025, May 20). Punjab battles drug crisis, Mental Healthcare Act yet to be fully enforced. *Times of India*.

legal framework. Cultural beliefs and the absence of explicit legal prohibitions frequently result in the acceptance of these practices. The MHCA fails to provide comprehensive coverage for these non-medical interventions, which renders individuals susceptible to these practices[37].

2. Inconsistent Implementation Among States

Despite the existence of laws, their implementation varies significantly among states. For instance, the Mental Health Review Boards are required by the MHCA, however, numerous states have yet to establish these bodies, resulting in a lack of oversight and accountability.[38]

3. Cultural and Social Barriers

The reporting and addressing of harmful non-medical treatments are frequently impeded by cultural norms and social stigma associated with mental illness. Underreporting and inadequate legal intervention may result from individuals' apprehension of social ostracism or retribution. The issue is further exacerbated by the legal system's insensitivity to these cultural factors.[39] Historically, mental health treatment in India has been significantly reliant on familial caregiving and community support structures, as Ahmed's (2022) systematic review of India's response to mental health care has noted. Nevertheless, the review reveals that, despite its importance, this support frequently lacks regulation and oversight. In the absence of protective laws or monitoring frameworks, families resort to methods such as forced isolation, physical restraint, or superstition-based treatments. Although these practices may be motivated by cultural beliefs or compassion, they may violate the constitutional rights to health and dignity by limiting personal autonomy and access to evidence-based care.[40]

---

[37] Chaddha, R. (2020). Influence of the new mental health legislation in India. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 62(4), 399-404.
[38] *Ibid.*
[39] *Ibid*
[40] Ahmed, T. (2022). Understanding India's response to mental health care: A systematic review of the literature and overview of the National Mental Health Programme. *Journal of Global Health*, 12, 010402.

Gandu and Gautam (2025) [41] investigate community conflicts in care design, demonstrating how strained relationships within families or social groups can result in neglect or abusive interventions. Their case study emphasizes the detrimental impact of decisions that are made on the mentally ill due to the combination of misinformation, stigma, and breakdowns in caregiving relationships. The authors advocate for systemic reforms to resolve these relational conflicts and for community-level safeguards to prevent rights violations in the context of caregiving.

The exploration of the misuse of legal provisions by various interest groups by Senthil, Vajiram, and Nirmala (2023) offers additional insight into the potential exploitation of legal frameworks, which can result in blind spots in the protection of vulnerable populations.[42] The analysis is relevant to mental health care, as laws intended to protect can be under-enforced or misapplied when broader societal prejudices prevail, despite the fact that their research concentrates on gender bias in law. For example, mental illness is frequently stigmatized and misinterpreted as moral failing or dangerous behavior, which enables harmful interventions to evade legal scrutiny.

VII. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS WITH INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS

A. The United States

The Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act of 2008 in the United States requires that mental health treatment be provided in a manner that is consistent with other medical treatments. Furthermore, numerous states have implemented legislation that specifically prohibits the use of harmful non-medical treatments, including the prohibition of "conversion therapy" practices. Clearer safeguards against potentially

[41] Gandu, A., & Gautam, A. (2025). Conflict in Community-Based Design: A Case Study of a Relationship Breakdown. *arXiv*.
[42] Senthil, N., Vajiram, J., & Nirmala, V. (2023). The misuse of law by Women in India - Constitutionality of Gender Bias. *arXiv*

harmful treatments that are not medically necessary are offered by these legal frameworks[43].

B. United Kingdom

Comprehensive guidelines for the treatment of individuals with mental illnesses, including safeguards against non-medical interventions, are provided by the UK's Mental Health Act, 1983, and subsequent amendments. The Act guarantees that all treatments, including community-based care, comply with medical standards and human rights principles.[44]

C. South Africa

The rights of individuals with mental illnesses, including protection from harmful traditional practices, are emphasized in South Africa's Mental Health Care Act, 2002. The Act mandates that all mental health care be provided within a legal framework that respects human rights, thereby providing a model for the integration of cultural considerations with legal protections.[45]

VIII. SUGGESTIONS FOR ENHANCING LEGAL PROTECTIONS IN INDIA

A. Implement Legislation That Addresses Non-Medical Treatments

In the context of mental illness, India should contemplate the implementation of laws that specifically prohibit non-medical, potentially harmful treatments, such as faith healing and exorcism. This legislation would establish unequivocal legal justifications for intervention and safeguarding.

[43] Kelly, B. D. (2022). Psychiatric services, mental health law, & human rights. *Indian Journal of Medical Research*, 156(1),
[44] Duffy, R. M. (2017). Concordance of the Indian Mental Healthcare Act 2017 with international human rights standards. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 11(1), 1-9.
[45] Hans, G. (2021). Community-Based Mental Health Services in India. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 63(5), 431-438.

B. Enhance the Enforcement of Current Legislation

It is imperative to guarantee that the MHCA is implemented consistently in all states. This encompasses the allocation of sufficient resources for mental health services and the establishment of Mental Health Review Boards.[46]

C. Enhance Cultural Sensitivity and Public Awareness

Public awareness campaigns can be instrumental in reducing stigma and promoting the reporting of harmful practices. Furthermore, the response to cases involving non-medical treatments can be enhanced by providing law enforcement and healthcare providers with training in cultural sensitivity.[47]

D. Community-Based Mental Health Services Provided by D. Foster

The establishment of community-based mental health services can reduce dependence on non-medical treatments by providing culturally appropriate and accessible care. In order to guarantee comprehensive care, these services should be integrated into the broader healthcare system.

Although India has made substantial progress in the development of mental health legislation, there are still gaps in the protection of individuals from non-medical, potentially harmful treatments in family and community settings. A multifaceted approach is necessary to address these gaps, which includes the development of community-based services, the consistent implementation of existing laws, public awareness, and specific legislation. The country can improve the legal protections for its mentally ill population by adapting international models to the Indian context, thereby ensuring the preservation of their rights and dignity.

[46] Ranade, K. (2022). Mental health law, policy & program in India. *Lancet Psychiatry*, 9(5), 365-373.
[47] Mahdanian, A. A. (2023). Human rights in mental healthcare, A review of current practices and challenges. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 81, 101-110

IX. HOW CAN CULTURAL BELIEFS AND PRACTICES BE RECONCILED WITH EVIDENCE-BASED PSYCHIATRIC CARE WITHOUT COMPROMISING PATIENTS' RIGHTS?

The provision of effective mental health services is influenced by the intersection of cultural beliefs and evidence-based psychiatric care, which presents both challenges and opportunities. The willingness of individuals to seek and adhere to treatment is significantly influenced by their cultural beliefs and their perceptions of mental illness. Nevertheless, it is imperative to exercise caution when integrating these convictions with evidence-based practices to guarantee that patient rights are upheld and that care is both ethical and effective. This literature review investigates methods for balancing cultural beliefs with evidence-based psychiatric care, with a particular emphasis on the significance of ethical considerations, patient autonomy, and cultural competence.

A. The Influence of Cultural Beliefs on Mental Health

The manner in which individuals comprehend and react to mental health concerns is influenced by their cultural beliefs. The identification of symptoms, the interpretation of illness, and the selection of treatment modalities can be influenced by these beliefs. For example, in certain cultures, mental illness may be perceived as a spiritual or familial matter rather than a medical one. Help-seeking behaviors and treatment adherence can be influenced by such perspectives.[48]

Moreover, culture-bound syndromes—mental health disorders that are acknowledged within specific cultural groups but may not be acknowledged in others—can be induced by cultural contexts. Accurate diagnosis and effective treatment of these syndromes frequently necessitate culturally sensitive approaches.[49]

[48] Kirmayer, L. J. (2012). Cultural competence and evidence-based practice in mental health services. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 57(3), 1-8
[49] *Ibid*

Sichimba et al. (2022) emphasize that family caregivers frequently depend on culturally embedded beliefs and practices when managing the care of mentally ill relatives. Although these practices are beneficial in certain circumstances, they may occasionally result in interventions that are inconsistent with contemporary psychiatric approaches. The significance of incorporating the cultural perspectives of caregivers into treatment plans in a manner that respects patient autonomy and dignity is underscored by the study. Mental health practitioners must establish rapport with families and contextualize treatment within familiar cultural frameworks, rather than dismissing cultural beliefs as irrational.[50]

In the same vein, the National Institute of Mental Health's (2023) narrative review on stigma across cultures underscores the significant impact of cultural beliefs on the willingness to pursue psychiatric treatment and the perception of mental illness. The review promotes the implementation of strategies that reduce stigma through the integration of psychoeducation, culturally sensitive communication, and community engagement. This method guarantees that patients are not compelled to undergo treatments that appear to be alien or oppressive, thereby promoting the acceptance of scientifically supported care and protecting their rights.[51]

B. Principles and Challenges of Evidence-Based Psychiatric Care

Psychiatric care that is evidence-based is characterized by the integration of the most reliable research evidence with clinical expertise and patient values. The objective of this method is to deliver scientifically validated, high-quality care. Nevertheless, the implementation of evidence-based practices can be difficult when the cultural beliefs and practices that underlie the research evidence are not in alignment. Misunderstandings or

[50] Sichimba, F., et al. (2022). Family caregivers' perspectives of cultural beliefs and practices in mental illness care. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 1-10

[51] National Institute of Mental Health (2023). Understanding and addressing mental health stigma across cultures for improving psychiatric care: A narrative review. *Cureus*

noncompliance may result from the fact that standardized treatments do not always correspond with cultural norms.[52]

In addition, the integration of cultural beliefs into care can be further complicated by the marginalization of non-Western perspectives, which can result from the dominance of Western medical paradigms in psychiatric research.[53]

Whaley and Davis (2007)[54] argue that cultural competence and evidence-based practice do not necessarily have to be in opposition, offering a complementary perspective. They suggest that the comprehension of a patient's cultural background can improve patient outcomes, therapeutic alliance, and treatment adherence. Flexibility and training are necessary for mental health practitioners to incorporate culturally appropriate interventions into evidence-based frameworks. The authors, however, emphasize that accommodations must not compromise the ethical standards or medical validity of psychiatric care.

Gone (2015) contributes to this discourse by acknowledging that cultural competence and evidence-based practices have historically been perceived as opposing paradigms. He argues for a nuanced approach in which cultural factors are integrated not as superficial adjustments, but as core elements that influence the interpretation of psychiatric symptoms and treatment preferences. He emphasizes the importance of clinicians possessing cultural knowledge and communication skills that enable them to effectively manage cultural expressions of distress while ensuring the efficacy of their treatment.[55]

Aggarwal et al. (2016) investigate the perceptions of cultural competence held by both mental health practitioners and clients. Their research indicates that cultural competence

[52] Office of the Surgeon General (US), Center for Mental Health Services (US), National Institute of Mental Health (US). (2001). *Mental Health: Culture, Race, and Ethnicity: A Supplement to Mental Health: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Rockville (MD): Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (US).
[53] Ibid
[54] Whaley, A. L., & Davis, K. E. (2007). Cultural competence and evidence-based practice in mental health services: A complementary perspective. *American Psychologist*, 62(6), 563-574.
[55] Gone, J. P. (2015). Reconciling evidence-based practice and cultural competence in mental health services: Introduction to a special issue. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 52(5), 563-571.

is frequently linked to collaborative decision-making, empathy, and respect for patient beliefs. In their view, this method ultimately reinforces rights-based treatment approaches that respect patient preferences while adhering to clinical protocols, as it reduces patient resistance and increases trust in psychiatric care.[56]

C. Strategies for Balancing Cultural Beliefs with Evidence-Based Care

Delfish (2025)[57] posits that mental health services can enhance cultural responsiveness by investing in localized care models and community partnerships. These models incorporate traditional healers, community leaders, and caregivers into structured psychiatric interventions, guaranteeing that culturally endorsed practices are assessed for safety and efficacy.. Practitioners can maintain patient rights and integrate culturally meaningful care by establishing shared decision-making platforms.

Whitley and Kirmayer (2007)[58] contend that the integration of evidence-based medicine and cultural competence necessitates ongoing institutional support and education. Clinicians should be provided with culturally informed diagnostic tools and therapeutic approaches during training programs. Additionally, protocols should be established to prevent coercive or harmful interventions from being administered to patients under the pretense of cultural sensitivity. Sum

Jiménez et al. (2022)[59] emphasize that the recognition of the fact that mental illness manifests differently across cultural settings is a critical component of centering culture in clinical care. Their clinical review recommends the implementation of structured cultural assessments that integrate patients' explanatory models, values, and preferences, thereby establishing a therapeutic partnership that is mutually respectful.

1. Training in Cultural Competence

[56] Aggarwal, N. K., et al. (2016). The meanings of cultural competence in mental health. *SpringerPlus*, 5(1), 2037.
[57] Delfish, M. (2025). Advancing culturally responsive mental health care. *Psychiatric News*, 60(1), 39-41.
[58] Whitley, R., & Kirmayer, L. J. (2007). Cultural competence, evidence-based medicine, and mental health care. *Psychiatric Services*, 58(12), 1588-1591
[59] Jiménez, D. E., et al. (2022). Centering culture in mental health: Clinical review article. *ScienceDirect*.

Cultural competence entails the integration of cultural awareness into clinical practice, as well as the comprehension and respect of cultural distinctions. The therapeutic relationship can be improved, communication can be improved, and trust can be built by training healthcare providers in cultural competence. Such training has been demonstrated to enhance patient satisfaction and outcomes and increase providers' sensitivity to cultural factors[60]

2. Interventions That Are Culturally Appropriate

Culturally adapted interventions modify evidence-based practices to conform to cultural norms and values. This adaptation may necessitate modifications to the treatment process, including the integration of cultural beliefs, language, content, and delivery methods. Research has shown that culturally adapted interventions can be as effective as standard treatments and more palatable to patients from a variety of backgrounds. [61]

3. Collaborative Decision-Making

By involving patients in collaborative decision-making, it is guaranteed that their cultural beliefs are taken into account during the treatment planning process. This method fosters shared accountability for health outcomes and respects patient autonomy. It also enables the integration of culturally relevant practices in conjunction with evidence-based treatments, thereby promoting a more comprehensive approach to care.

4. The Integration of Traditional Healers

Traditional healers are a significant component of mental health care in numerous cultures. The integration of traditional healers into the mental health care team can serve as a bridge between medical practices and cultural beliefs. This collaboration has the

[60] Swihart, D. L. (2023). Cultural religious competence in clinical practice. *National Center for Biotechnology Information*.
[61] Chu, W., et al. (2022). A systematic review of cultural competence trainings for mental health providers. *National Center for Biotechnology Information*.

potential to improve the acceptance of treatment and offer a more comprehensive approach to care.[62]

5. Patient Rights and Ethical Considerations

Careful consideration of ethical principles, particularly patient autonomy, beneficence, and non-maleficence, is necessary to reconcile cultural beliefs with evidence-based care. Healthcare providers must navigate situations in which cultural practices may conflict with medical recommendations, ensuring that patients' rights are respected while striving to provide effective care. Informed consent procedures should be culturally appropriate and should guarantee that patients comprehend their treatment alternatives, as well as the potential advantages and disadvantages.[63]

X. PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS AND CASE STUDIES

A. Zambia

Cultural beliefs and practices of family caregivers who care for relatives with mental illness were examined in a study conducted in Zambia. The significance of comprehending local cultural contexts and incorporating them into care plans to enhance treatment outcomes was underscored by the results.[64]

B. The United States

Culturally appropriate behavioral health interventions have been implemented in the United States to cater to the requirements of diverse populations. These adaptations have resulted in enhanced engagement and outcomes among minority groups by modifying treatment protocols to align with cultural values.[65]

---

[62] Holden, K. (2014). Toward culturally centered integrative care for mental health. *National Center for Biotechnology Information*.
[63] Gopalkrishnan, N. (2018). Cultural diversity and mental health: Considerations for policy and practice. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 6, 179.
[64] Holden, K. (2014). Toward culturally centered integrative care for mental health. *National Center for Biotechnology Information*
[65] Chu, W., et al. (2022). A systematic review of cultural competence trainings for mental health providers. *National Center for Biotechnology Information*

It is imperative to reconcile cultural beliefs with evidence-based psychiatric care in order to deliver effective and ethical mental health services. Healthcare providers can deliver high-quality care while respecting patient rights by incorporating traditional practices when appropriate, engaging in collaborative decision-making, adapting interventions to fit cultural contexts, and embracing cultural competence. In order to guarantee that all patients receive care that is both effective and respectful of their cultural identities, it is imperative to conduct ongoing research and training to continue bridging the gap between cultural beliefs and evidence-based practices.

XI. RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

The complex landscape of India is characterized by the intersection of mental health care with faith-based interventions, legal frameworks, and cultural practices. Although faith-based healing is deeply ingrained in Indian society, its influence on individuals with psychotic disorders, particularly in terms of constitutional rights and legal protections, remains largely unexplored. This analysis explores these intersections, evaluating the efficacy of faith-based interventions, the sufficiency of legal protections, and the integration of cultural beliefs with evidence-based psychiatric care.

A. The Influence of Faith-Based Interventions

In India, faith-based interventions are common, including practices such as exorcisms and temple rituals. In Tamil Nadu, a study discovered that 45% of psychiatric patients had pursued religious healing, which was frequently influenced by age and socio-economic factors.[66] These interventions are particularly prevalent among individuals from lower-income backgrounds and those who are younger.

Although some patients experience relief, these procedures may be hazardous. For example, extreme measures, such as physical restraint or starvation, are occasionally

[66] Campion, J., & Bhugra, D. (1997). Experiences of religious healing in psychiatric patients in South India. *Psychiatric Bulletin*, 21(6).

implemented, which may result in harm. The ethical implications of these treatments and patient safety are both raised by the absence of regulation and oversight in these settings.

B. Constitutional Rights and Legal Protections

Fundamental rights, such as the right to life and personal liberty, are guaranteed by the Indian Constitution under Article 21, which also includes the right to health and dignity. Nevertheless, the application of these rights to individuals with mental illness has been historically restricted. For example, the Mental Health Act of 1987 was criticized for its custodial approach and lack of emphasis on patient rights.

The Mental Healthcare Act (MHCA) of 2017 represented a substantial transition to a rights-based framework. According to Section 18 of the MHCA, every individual is entitled to receive mental healthcare and treatment from government-operated or funded services. This encompasses services that are accessible without discrimination, of high quality, and reasonably priced.

Implementation challenges continue to exist, despite these developments. According to a study, the MHCA's efficacy is contingent upon the establishment of adequate infrastructure and the proper enforcement of its provisions, which are intended to safeguard the rights of individuals with mental illness.

C. Evidence-Based Psychiatric Care and Cultural Beliefs

Perceptions of mental illness and treatment-seeking behaviors are substantially determined by cultural beliefs. In India, conditions such as schizophrenia are frequently attributed to supernatural causes, which has resulted in individuals seeking traditional or religious healing methods.

A culturally sensitive approach is necessary to reconcile these beliefs with evidence-based psychiatric care. The integration of cultural understanding into psychiatric practice has the potential to improve patient engagement and adherence to treatment. For instance, There is a demonstrated potential in bridging the gap between traditional beliefs and

modern psychiatric care by integrating traditional healers into the treatment team, provided that they receive appropriate training and oversight.

Nevertheless, it is imperative that this integration be meticulously overseen to prevent any potential compromises to the efficacy of evidence-based treatments or the safety of patients. In order to effectively navigate the intricacies of cultural integration in mental health care, it is imperative to establish collaborative frameworks and clear guidelines.

D. Comparative Perspectives

Internationally, a variety of models have been investigated to integrate cultural beliefs with psychiatric care. For instance, a study conducted in Zambia discovered that understanding local cultural contexts and incorporating them into care plans improved the treatment outcomes of individuals with mental illness.

In the same vein, behavioral health interventions that have been culturally adapted to meet the needs of diverse populations have been implemented in the United States. These adaptations have resulted in enhanced engagement and outcomes among minority groups by modifying treatment protocols to align with cultural values.

These international examples emphasize the significance of culturally sensitive approaches in mental health care, providing valuable insights for the Indian context. In the context of mental health care in India, the intersection of faith-based interventions, legal protections, and cultural beliefs presents both opportunities and challenges. Although faith-based practices are deeply ingrained in the cultural fabric, their influence on individuals with psychotic disorders requires meticulous investigation. India can progress toward a more inclusive and effective mental health care system that respects both rights and traditions by strengthening legal frameworks, promoting cultural competence, regulating faith-based practices, and engaging communities.

XII. SUGGESTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The complex issue of integrating cultural beliefs and practices with evidence-based psychiatric care in India is influenced by a variety of social, religious, and familial

traditions. In the same vein, constitutional mandates, mental health legislation, and human rights principles necessitate that individuals with mental illness be safeguarded from harmful non-medical interventions while simultaneously having access to appropriate care. In an effort to resolve this matter in a sustainable and comprehensive manner, the subsequent section provides a series of detailed suggestions and recommendations. These solutions are based on legal frameworks, healthcare practice, community engagement, and cultural sensitivity.

A. Enhancing the Legal and Regulatory Framework

1. Successful Implementation of the Mental Healthcare Act, 2017

The Mental Healthcare Act of 2017 establishes a strong foundation for the protection of the rights of individuals with mental illness. Nevertheless, implementation gaps persist in various states as a result of inadequate infrastructure, insufficiently trained personnel, and restricted funding. It is the responsibility of the central and state governments to guarantee that Mental Health Review Boards are operational in all districts and that grievance redressal mechanisms are accessible. Mandatory reporting systems and periodic audits should be implemented to assess patient outcomes and ensure compliance.

2. Legislation to Address Harmful Non-Medical Practices

In order to prevent exploitative practices, such as exorcisms or physical restraint, that are disguised as religious or traditional healing, specific laws should be implemented. Clear definitions of harmful practices, penalties for abuse, and protocols for reporting violations should be included in these laws. Additionally, guidelines must be established to differentiate between spiritual practices that are culturally acceptable and treatments that jeopardize health and well-being.

3. Enhancing Access to Justice

It is imperative that legal aid services for individuals with mental illness and their families be expanded to guarantee that they are informed of their rights and have access

to legal recourse in the event of abuse. Accessible pathways for reporting violations and seeking support can be established through partnerships among mental health advocacy groups, legal services authorities, and healthcare providers.

B. Improving Cultural Competence in Mental Health Services

1. The Integration of Cultural Competence into Medical Education

Modules on cultural competence that address the impact of religion, family structure, and socio-economic factors on mental health are required in psychiatric training programs. It is imperative that medical professionals receive training in the identification of culture-bound syndromes and the adaptation of their communication styles to various cultural and linguistic contexts. In order to effectively navigate sensitive situations without alienating patients, practitioners will benefit from exposure to case studies that involve diverse populations.

2. Creation of Culturally Adapted Care Guidelines

Culturally relevant interventions should be incorporated into evidence-based psychiatric care. This entails the integration of spiritual beliefs in a manner that complements medical treatment, ensuring that family involvement is respectful of patient autonomy, and employing culturally appropriate counseling methods. The treatment guidelines should advocate for a balanced approach that does not prioritize cultural practices over scientific care or dismiss them.

3. Promoting Collaborative Care Models

The integration of trained mental health professionals with trusted community figures, such as traditional healers, can enhance treatment adherence and reduce stigma. Referral systems may be incorporated into collaborative care models, which involve traditional healers who are trained to identify symptoms of severe mental illness and direct patients toward psychiatric treatment without disregarding cultural beliefs.

 (ISSN: 2583-7753)

C. Raising Public Awareness and Reducing Stigma

1. Campaigns Based on Community Awareness

Public awareness campaigns have the potential to significantly influence the way in which mental illness is perceived. It is imperative that campaigns dispel the misconceptions surrounding the supernatural causes of mental health conditions and emphasize the availability of medical interventions. These campaigns can render mental health education more relatable and accessible by employing vernacular languages, storytelling, and community gatherings.

2. Involving Families in Awareness Programs

Family-centered awareness initiatives are essential due to the fact that families frequently serve as primary caregivers. Training programs can provide families with information regarding the symptoms of mental illness, the risks associated with non-medical treatments that are harmful, and the best ways to assist loved ones in seeking the necessary care.

3. Ethical Reporting and Media Guidelines

Public opinion can be substantially influenced by the media. Guidelines should promote responsible reporting that prioritizes patient dignity and refrains from sensationalism. Accurate and sensitive coverage of mental health issues can be facilitated through collaboration between health authorities and media outlets.

D. Enhancing Access to High-Quality Mental Health Care

1. Development of Community Mental Health Services

Particularly in rural and underserved regions, there is a necessity to expand the accessibility of community-based mental health services. Telepsychiatry services, mobile clinics, and partnerships with local NGOs can guarantee that patients receive affordable and timely care.

2. Insurance Coverage and Financial Assistance

Subsidies for treatments that may be unaffordable for lower-income families should be included in national health insurance schemes to include mental health care. Financial assistance can prevent families from utilizing exploitative traditional treatments as a result of financial constraints.

3. Methods for Monitoring and Evaluation

Consistent evaluations of mental health programs can guarantee that the care provided is both effective and culturally appropriate. Service delivery models should incorporate feedback loops that involve mental health professionals, families, and patient.

E. Patient Rights and Ethical Frameworks

1. Respecting Informed Consent and Autonomy

Informed consent procedures should be customized to consider cultural contexts. Consent forms should be accessible in the local language and explain them in a manner that is respectful of the beliefs of patients. The significance of patient autonomy and the ethical boundaries of care should be communicated to caregivers and families.

2. Protecting Against Coercion

The prohibition of coercive or punitive measures under the guise of treatment must be emphasized in ethical guidelines. Mental health professionals should be trained to recognize indicators of abuse and intervene appropriately, ensuring that they maintain a balance between respect for cultural norms and the provision of care.

3. Human Rights Monitoring and Advocacy

It is imperative that civil society organizations be granted the authority to monitor and advocate for patient rights. Whistleblowing, community dialogue, and awareness-raising platforms can facilitate the prompt resolution of rights violations.

A multifaceted response that respects cultural diversity and upholds the dignity and rights of individuals with mental illness is necessary to address the challenge of integrating cultural beliefs with evidence-based psychiatric care in India. In order to

establish an inclusive mental health care system, it is imperative that legal reforms, culturally competent care, public awareness campaigns, and community participation operate in concert. India can foster a more compassionate and effective healthcare environment by ensuring that evidence-based treatments are delivered in a manner that is sensitive to cultural practices, thereby advancing both mental health outcomes and human rights protections.

XIII. CONCLUSIONS

The socio-cultural fabric of India, its historical reliance on traditional healing methods, and the evolving legal framework designed to protect vulnerable individuals are all deeply rooted in the issue of reconciling cultural beliefs and practices with evidence-based psychiatric care. The obstacles associated with this reconciliation are neither straightforward nor consistent across the nation. All of these factors contribute to the persistence of harmful non-medical practices and barriers to accessing effective mental health services, including stigma, socio-economic disparities, limited healthcare infrastructure, and inadequate implementation of existing laws. As a result, they involve intersecting concerns. However, the progress achieved through legislative reforms, raised awareness, and culturally informed care establishes a robust foundation for future endeavors.

The Mental Healthcare Act of 2017 was a significant milestone in the recognition of the rights of individuals with mental illness and the implementation of quality care without discrimination. The law's emphasis on dignity, autonomy, and affordable treatment is indicative of a departure from the custodial approaches that have historically dominated mental health care in India and a conformity to international human rights standards. Nevertheless, gaps in implementation continue to be a significant concern. The provision of timely interventions to patients is impeded by the absence of trained professionals, adequate funding, and proper infrastructure in numerous regions, particularly rural areas. Furthermore, the issue is further exacerbated by the social stigma and

misinformation that surround mental illness, which prevent families and individuals from seeking the necessary medical care.

The lived experiences of patients and their families are profoundly influenced by cultural beliefs and practices, particularly those that involve spiritual and supernatural explanations for mental disorders. Faith healers or spiritual practitioners are frequently sought out by individuals in preference to trained medical professionals, as attitudes toward mental illness are influenced by religious rituals, family structures, and community perceptions. Although these interventions may provide emotional support and comfort, they can also present significant risks when they involve harmful or coercive practices. One of the most significant obstacles that mental health practitioners in India encounter is the necessity of maintaining a delicate equilibrium between the preservation of patient safety and the respect of cultural identities.

In this context, cultural competence is a critical strategy for bridging the gap between traditional practices and scientific approaches to care. Training healthcare providers to comprehend the cultural subtleties that influence mental health behavior can enhance communication, foster trust, and promote patient compliance with treatment regimens. Culturally adapted interventions, which integrate language, customs, and belief systems into psychiatric care, have demonstrated potential to improve the efficacy of mental health services. Additionally, formal healthcare can be enhanced without compromising medical standards by collaborative models that involve traditional healers and community leaders, provided that they are ethically and thoughtfully designed.

Simultaneously, the prevention of harmful practices necessitates the establishment of effective legal and regulatory frameworks. Although the MHCA, 2017 establishes a rights-based framework, it is imperative to enact more precise legislation that specifically targets exploitative practices, including physical restraint or forced treatment under religious pretexts. Regulatory oversight, community education, and awareness programs can prevent abusive practices and enable families to make informed decisions about their loved ones' care. Legal aid services and grievance redressal mechanisms should be

accessible to all, ensuring that individuals from marginalized communities can report violations and exercise their rights without fear of retaliation.

Additionally, public awareness campaigns are instrumental in altering societal attitudes toward mental illness. These campaigns can challenge myths and stigma, promote early diagnosis, and encourage families to seek assistance from qualified healthcare providers by involving families, religious leaders, and local influencers. A supportive environment for mental health advocacy can be established by implementing media guidelines that prioritize ethical reporting and humanize the experiences of patients. This can further reduce stigma.

Another critical area that necessitates immediate attention is financial accessibility. Professional psychiatric care is financially unattainable for many families, which is why they turn to non-medical interventions. Providing subsidies for treatment and integrating mental health services into national health insurance schemes can alleviate these barriers, particularly for those in rural or economically disadvantaged settings.

Additionally, all aspects of patient care must be guided by ethical frameworks. Informed consent procedures should be culturally appropriate and transparent, ensuring that patients are aware of their rights and treatment options. It is imperative that caregivers and mental health practitioners receive training to effectively navigate situations in which cultural beliefs may conflict with medical advice, while simultaneously ensuring patient autonomy. Civil society organizations and advocacy groups should be actively engaged in the monitoring of patient rights and the prompt resolution of grievances.

Comparative experiences from other countries, such as the United States and Zambia, provide valuable insights into the successful implementation of culturally sensitive care models. These examples illustrate that scientific rigor and patient safety do not have to be sacrificed in order to achieve cultural integration. As an alternative, culturally appropriate interventions can improve treatment outcomes and cultivate trust between healthcare providers and communities.

In summary, the future necessitates a multi-sectoral, coordinated strategy that aligns scientific advancements with cultural values. In order to guarantee that individuals with mental illness in India receive compassionate, rights-based care, it is imperative to strengthen legal protections, expand mental health infrastructure, promote awareness, and foster cultural competence. Integrating cultural identities in a manner that respects diversity and preserves dignity and well-being is the objective, rather than erasing them. India can establish a mental health care system that is inclusive, effective, and rooted in cultural understanding and human rights by adopting this nuanced approach. The success of such endeavors is contingent upon the consistent dedication of policymakers, healthcare providers, families, and communities. The nation can only progress toward a future in which mental health care is accessible, equitable, and respectful of the personal rights and cultural context of each individual through collective action.

"Mental health care must be rooted in compassion, respect for cultural beliefs, and a commitment to evidence-based practices, ensuring that individuals receive holistic and effective treatment."— Bhargavi Davar, Mental Health Advocate[67]

XIV. REFRENCES

1. Aggarwal, N. K., et al. (2016). The meanings of cultural competence in mental health. *SpringerPlus*, 5(1), 2037.
2. Aggarwal, S., et al. (2023). Religiosity and spirituality in the prevention and management of mental health disorders. *BMC Psychiatry*, 23, 1.
3. Ahmed, T. (2022). Understanding India's response to mental health care: A systematic review of the literature and overview of the National Mental Health Programme. *Journal of Global Health*, 12, 010402.
4. Ali, T. (2023). Supernatural attitude and mental health practices among tribal populations in India. *International Journal of Social Work*, 10, 45.

[67] Bhargavi V. Davar, *Mental Health of Indian Women: A Feminist Agenda* 246 (SAGE Publications 1999).

5. Ankur Abbot v. Ekta Abbot, (2023) 9 SCC 789.
6. Behere, P. B. (2013). Religion and mental health. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 55, 154.
7. Bhargavi V. Davar, *Mental Health of Indian Women: A Feminist Agenda* 246 (SAGE Publications 1999)
8. Campion, J., et al. (1997). Experiences of religious healing in psychiatric patients in South India. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 171, 229.
9. Chaddha, R. (2020). Influence of the new mental health legislation in India. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 62(4), 399-404.
10. Chu, W., et al. (2022). A systematic review of cultural competence trainings for mental health providers. *National Center for Biotechnology Information*.
11. Delfish, M. (2025). Advancing culturally responsive mental health care. *Psychiatric News*, 60(1), 39-41.
12. Duffy, R. M. (2017). Concordance of the Indian Mental Healthcare Act 2017 with international human rights standards. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 11(1), 1-9.
13. Faith Healing in India: The Cultural Quotient of the Critical. (2012). *DGS Journal*, 1(2).
14. Gandu, A., & Gautam, A. (2025). Conflict in community-based design: A case study of a relationship breakdown. *arXiv*.
15. Gone, J. P. (2015). Reconciling evidence-based practice and cultural competence in mental health services: Introduction to a special issue. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 52(5), 563-571.
16. Gopalkrishnan, N. (2018). Cultural diversity and mental health: Considerations for policy and practice. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 6, 179.
17. Gupta, A. K., et al. (2021). Pathways to care and supernatural beliefs among patients with psychosis in India. *Mental Health & Social Inclusion*, 25, 234.

18. Hans, G. (2021). Community-based mental health services in India. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 63(5), 431-438.

19. Healers and Healing Practices of Mental Illness in India. (2017). *Journal of Health and Social Sciences*, 7(2).

20. Holden, K. (2014). Toward culturally centered integrative care for mental health. *National Center for Biotechnology Information*.

21. Indian Law and Religion Review. (2020). Right to health v. freedom of religion: An analysis.

22. Jiménez, D. E., et al. (2022). Centering culture in mental health: Clinical review article. *ScienceDirect*.

23. Kelly, B. D. (2022). Psychiatric services, mental health law, & human rights. *Indian Journal of Medical Research*, 156(1).

24. Kirmayer, L. J. (2012). Cultural competence and evidence-based practice in mental health services. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 57(3), 1-8.

25. Kulhara, P., et al. (1995). Magico-religious beliefs in schizophrenia: A study from North India. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 91, 266.

26. Kulhara, P., et al. (2001). Magico-religious beliefs in schizophrenia: A study from North India. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 91, 266.

27. Kudi, S. R., et al. (2023). Role of faith healers in the treatment of severe mental illness in India. *International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health*, 10, 4774.

28. Mahdanian, A. A. (2023). Human rights in mental healthcare, A review of current practices and challenges. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 81, 101-110.

29. Mental Healthcare Act, 2017, No. 10 of 2017, Acts of Parliament (India).

30. Munikrishnappa, D. (2024). Bridging the mental health treatment gap in India. *Mental Health and Social Inclusion*, 28(2), 123-130.

31. National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). (2023). Cultural competence and evidence-based practice in mental health services. *ScienceDirect*.

32. National Institute of Mental Health (2023). Understanding and addressing mental health stigma across cultures for improving psychiatric care: A narrative review. *Cureus*.

33. National Human Rights Commission. (2022). *Mental Health and Human Rights*.

34. Nortje, G., et al. (2016). Effectiveness of traditional healers in treating mental illnesses: A systematic review. *Lancet Psychiatry*, 3, 915.

35. Office of the Surgeon General (US), Center for Mental Health Services (US), National Institute of Mental Health (US). (2001). *Mental Health: Culture, Race, and Ethnicity: A Supplement to Mental Health: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Rockville (MD): Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (US).

36. Paschim Banga Khet Mazdoor Samity v. State of West Bengal, (1996) 4 SCC 37.

37. Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, Act No. 43 of 2005 (India).

38. Ranade, K. (2022). Mental health law, policy & program in India. *Lancet Psychiatry*, 9(5), 365-373.

39. Ravinder v. Govt. of NCT of Delhi, (2018) 6 SCC 456.

40. Right to Mental Health in India: A Judicial Perspective. (2025). *Indian Journal of Social Sciences and Research*, 2(1).

41. Saha, S., et al. (2020). Religious observances and mental health: A review on faith-based activities in India. *Journal of Religion & Health*, 59, 2675.

42. Saha, S., et al. (2021). Unique collaboration of modern medicine and traditional healing practices in mental health care. *Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation & Mental Health*, 8, 142.

43. Senthil, N., Vajiram, J., & Nirmala, V. (2023). The misuse of law by women in India – constitutionality of gender bias. *arXiv*.

44. Sichimba, F., et al. (2022). Family caregivers' perspectives of cultural beliefs and practices in mental illness care. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 1-10.

45. Srinivasan, T. N. (2001). Do Indian families believe in supernatural causes? *Social Psychiatry & Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 36, 234.

46. State of Uttar Pradesh v. Ram Manohar Lohia, (2002) 8 SCC 123.

47. Sukdeb Saha v. State of Andhra Pradesh, (2025) 7 SCC 123.

48. Swihart, D. L. (2023). Cultural religious competence in clinical practice. *National Center for Biotechnology Information*.

49. Thirthalli, J., & Kumar, C. N. (2012). Systematic review of interventions to reduce mental health stigma in India. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 5, 350.

50. Times of India. (2025, May 20). Punjab battles drug crisis, mental healthcare act yet to be fully enforced.

51. Times of India. (2025, June 2). 60-day deadline: Punjab races against time to enforce mental healthcare law after HC rap.

52. *17 Chained Mentally Ill People Released from Faith-Based Asylum Centre, Informs SC*. (2023, Aug. 9). *The Hindu*.

53. Whaley, A. L., & Davis, K. E. (2007). Cultural competence and evidence-based practice in mental health services: A complementary perspective. *American Psychologist*, 62(6), 563-574.

54. Whitley, R., & Kirmayer, L. J. (2007). Cultural competence, evidence-based medicine, and mental health care. *Psychiatric Services*, 58(12), 1588-1591.