LawFoyer International Journal of Doctrinal Legal Research

[ISSN: 2583-7753]

After careful consideration, the editorial board of LawFoyer International Journal of Doctrinal Legal Research has decided to publish this submission as part of the publication.

In case of any suggestions or complaints, kindly contact (info.lijdlr@gmail.com)

To submit your Manuscript for Publication in the LawFoyer International Journal of Doctrinal Legal Research, To submit your Manuscript Click here

STRATEGIC POLICY FRAMEWORK FOR MANAGING HYBRID WORK FORCE: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

Baibhab Datta[1]

I. ABSTRACT

The post-pandemic evolution of work structure has given rapid evolution of the modern workplace, accelerated by digital transformation and the post-pandemic paradigm shift, which has given rise to the hybrid workforce, combining remote and in-office work arrangements to create flexible operational frameworks. The COVID-19 pandemic acted as a catalyst, compelling organisations to adopt flexible models that surpass traditional office boundaries. This research examines the strategic policy framework required for managing a hybrid workforce with a focus on identifying critical challenges, including communication barriers across distributed teams, technological infrastructure inadequacies, and cybersecurity vulnerabilities in distributed work environments, compliance complexities with evolving labour laws and data protection regulations, such as the Indian Labour Codes and General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). The paper also examines how strategic human resources policies, reinforced by legal compliance and digital governance, can mitigate these challenges while promoting inclusivity and productivity. Different types of work models show HR and leaders how to implement flexible arrangements that not only enhance employee engagement and satisfaction but also contribute to overall business performance, including cost optimisation, access to a diverse talent pool, improved employee satisfaction, and enhanced sustainability through reduced infrastructure dependency. This research aims to develop a practical and inclusive framework that combines strategic and legal approaches to manage hybrid workforces more effectively, focusing on overcoming regulatory, managerial and technological challenges.

---

[1] Student of Semester Seven, Institute of Chartered Financial Analysts of India (ICFAI), Hyderabad (TELENGANA), India. Email: baibhabdatta54@gmail.com .

II.   KEYWORDS

Hybrid Workforce, Labour Law Compliance, General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), Flexible Work Models, Cybersecurity.

III.   INTRODUCTION

The substantial and expanding body of hybrid work culture has become the focus of a sizable and growing body of recent research models that integrate both remote and onsite work structures, even after the lifting of lockdowns and other restrictions. This change is brought by the fast pace of the digital transformation notion of the workplace. The hybrid workplace provides flexibility on operations but also has created an entirely new level of legal, managerial and technological challenges that require appropriate policy response.

This work model offers innumerable advantages, such as talent diversification, greater job satisfaction, cost optimisation, and more efficient use of time and organisational governance. In the recent time India is updating and modernize its labour laws through Indian Labour Codes making them more suitable for employees and company to evolving in the work environments namely Code on Wages, 2019[1] which establishes a statutory floor of wage based on minimum living conditions and Industrial Relations Code, 2020[2] which aims to simplify labour law relating employment conditions, ensuring industrial peace and productivity and creating stable legal framework that protects workers right while Occupational Safety, health and Working Conditions Code,2020[3] related to occupational safety health and working environment into a single code.

Social Security Code 2020[4,] which ensures social protection for all workers, including organised, unorganised and economic sectors. These acts were introduced to simplify compliance and ensure better safety for employees in this expanding hybrid working economy. However, on November 21, 2025, it challenged the adaptability of these codes, concerning the development around employees' working hour, occupational safety in remote areas and also the social security who are away from the traditional workplaces.

The authors are trying to analyse the need for strategic policy framework that creates the alignment of hybrid work force management with the guiding principles and directives of India's Labour Codes. It tries into considering the legal compliance, human resource strategy, digital governance and examine how these interact within the growing hybrid work environment. The study also appraises key challenges such as data privacy concerns, communication gaps and lack of regulatory clarity which are most common issue arising in hybrid workplace. By exploring the management, technology and law, this paper aims to propose comprehensive model that support inclusivity, fairness and adherence of legal standard in these workplaces.

A. Research Questions

1. What are the primary legal challenges in implementing hybrid work models under Indian Labour Codes?

2. How do global best practices in hybrid work governance compare with India's regulatory framework?

3. What policy interventions are necessary to address cybersecurity and data protection concerns in hybrid workplaces?

B. Research Objectives

1. To examine the connection of technology, law and human resource management in organizational efficiency in hybrid work settings.

2. To identify the key challenges in dealing hybrid workforces, including matters related to communication barriers, cybersecurity, data privacy, occupational safety, and social security for remote employees.

3. To analyse the factors influencing the adaptation of remote and hybrid work.

4. To evaluate the potential benefits that hybrid work models offer to organizations such as higher operational flexibility, better employee satisfaction, rate efficiency and access to a wider range of talent.

C. Research Hypotheses

1. Strategic policy frameworks positively correlate with successful hybrid workforce management.

2. Legal compliance with Labour Codes significantly impacts organizational adoption of hybrid models.

D. Research Methodology

1. Research Design

This study adopts a doctrinal (qualitative) research design. The research is primarily analytical and conceptual in nature, focusing on the interpretation and synthesis of existing legal frameworks, policy documents, and academic literature related to hybrid workforce management. The doctrinal approach is appropriate as the study seeks to examine laws, regulations, and policy mechanisms rather than collect empirical field data.

2. Sources of Data

The research is based entirely on secondary data sources, including:

- Statutory instruments such as the Indian Labour Codes, Digital Personal Data Protection Act, and foreign labour legislations.

- Judicial decisions and government policy documents.

- Peer-reviewed journal articles, institutional reports (Gallup, IBA, Ministry of Labour Finland), and scholarly publications on hybrid work models.

- Authoritative web-based resources and international best practice documents.

No primary data such as surveys or interviews were used in this study.

3. Method of Analysis

The study employs a qualitative analytical framework, using:

- Comparative legal analysis to examine hybrid work frameworks in India, the UK, France, and Finland.

- Thematic analysis to identify recurring challenges such as cybersecurity risks, communication gaps, occupational safety, and legal compliance.

- Normative legal analysis to assess whether existing labour laws adequately protect hybrid workers and to propose policy reforms.

4. Scope of the Study

The scope of the research is limited to:

- Legal and policy dimensions of hybrid work.

- Organisational governance and HR strategies.

- Comparative analysis of selected jurisdictions.

The study does not examine sector-specific empirical outcomes (for example, productivity statistics from individual firms) and remains focused on macro-level legal and strategic issues.

5. Limitations of the Study

The major limitations include:

- Absence of empirical field data such as employee surveys or interviews.

- Dependence on existing secondary literature, which may vary in methodological rigor.

- Rapidly evolving regulatory frameworks, meaning some legal developments may change post-publication.

Despite these limitations, the doctrinal approach remains suitable for policy-oriented legal research and provides strong conceptual grounding for future empirical studies.

E. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. **Cybersecurity and Digital Governance in Hybrid Work Models:** Hybrid work has competence and flexibility advantages, but security risks that are

not easy to address with old-style security models. These threats arise due to organisational-wide security policies that are not uniform, personal devices that are not secured, and network visibility is restricted across distributed networks. To help against these threats, businesses can use VPNs for secure remote access, enforce multi-factor authentication to safeguard login authorisations and ensure employees are trained as part of any cybersecurity awareness training programme. The management should form a policy standpoint, which calls for regulations to be harmonised with the Information Technology Act 2000, Indian Labour Codes and emerging norms around data protection under the Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023. This is to ensure that digital governance applies are respectful to employee rights and are designed to safeguard corporate resources.[5]

2. **Communication Challenges:** In recent times, the organisational communication structures have emerged rapidly through online mode, resulting in a restriction of information flow, interaction patterns and managerial control mechanisms. Many researchers argue that while hybrid work enhances flexibility, it simultaneously presents complex communication barriers that affect collaboration, trust and productivity. Remote workers frequently can feel excluded from informal communication networks, which are created by impulsive conversations that take place in face-to-face conversations. This factor creates the sense of being silenced and less empowered to be involved in decision-making processes. In addition, technological siloing, where different teams use various communication tools, leads to disorganisation and confusion, as there is usually no shared policy for which platform to use or share information. Such splitting can lead to unclear accountability and misalignment of tasks and hence team association. Moreover, policy interventions that focus on digital literacy, inclusive leadership and cultural adaptability can transform communication barriers into enablers of organisational learning and resilience. When surrounded by a policy

framework, these contribute to having a culture of trust, responsibility and revolution.[6]

3. **Evolution and Adaptation of Hybrid Work Policies:** The sudden shift to a flexible work culture during the pandemic, where the urgent need for social isolation forced businesses to quickly adapt to new modes of working both opportunities and challenges in the process by promoting widespread research into its influences on productivity, job satisfaction and organisational dynamics. The adaptation of hybrid work policies has significantly renovated the way organisations work. As per Sostero et al. (2020),[7] the rate of remote work adoption rose by nearly 30% in the early months of the pandemic, representing the remarkable flexibility and adaptability of the global workforce. As organisations were bound to implement remote work arrangements to maintain operational continuity, employees gained firsthand experience of the advantages of working from home. Consequently, many now place a superior value on flexibility and remote work opportunities when evaluating employment options. Employers who supervise or fail to adapt to these shifting opportunities risk losing their competitive edge in attracting and retaining skilled professionals. This includes presenting flexible development, allowing remote work arrangements, promoting constant learning and career progression and cultivating an inclusive and supportive organisational culture. Adopting these practices, companies can strengthen their reputation and enhance employee engagement and inspiration.[8]

4. **Occupational Safety and Social Security in Hybrid Work Culture:** As per India's labour codes 2020(Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code and the Social Security Code), employees are entitled to safe working environments and equal social protection. Whereas, in hybrid and remote contexts, the responsibilities of the employer become faded. Now the issue arises as to ample safety at home, work stress, psychological problems, and the coverage of benefits, provident fund, insurance, and maternity or disability coverage to hybrid workers. As a result, issues like

ergonomic risks, digital fatigue, prolonged screen exposure, mental health challenges and insufficient home workspace safety have emerged as new dimensions of occupational safety yet endure largely unregulated within the current legal framework. In recent times, flexibility enables employees to combine professional and personal duties more successfully, lowering the stress and risks of exposure to those threats. These positive results if the hybrid work models are optimally managed, they can improve physical safety and psychological health among various workforces.[9]

F. RESEARCH GAP

While hybrid work has emerged as a dominant work procedure globally, a noticeable absence of enthusiastic strategic policy frameworks addressing both its challenges and opportunities remains. There is a lack of comprehensive academic research or any formal policy document, regulation by a government or a planned legal framework that focuses on how to handle the distinct needs of a hybrid workforce, employee wellbeing, principles of equal opportunity, organisational culture, compliance, data security and productivity.

This absence has led to the formulation of vigorous, adaptive policies and critical zones, such as labour rights, digital infrastructure, and diversity and presence are also not appropriately addressed in the hybrid context. Although certain research shows favourable results like better retention, improved work-life balance and greater talent acquisition possibilities, these results are deficient in standardised metrics, uniform methodologies and evaluations of long-term sustainability. Importantly, there is a lack of research investigating the situations in which hybrid work provides its anticipated advantages compared to scenarios where it might negatively affect organisational performance, the ideal mix of remote and in-office work for achieving particular results and how advantages differ by job role, employee level, company culture and technology resources.[10]

Moreover, there are gaps in comprehending the comprehensive cost benefit analysis that considers both apparent savings (lower real estate expenses) and concealed costs (cybersecurity investments, management overhead, potential productivity declines

and technology infrastructure), along with varying effects on different stakeholder groups such as employers, employees, customers and wider societal consequences. Crucially, there is an absence of a holistic strategic structure for consistently assessing, tracking and enhancing these advantages as hybrid models develop and progress within companies.

New research specifies that hybrid employees are more likely to get a raise than those who are fully remote or fully in-person. The recent data from 2024 found that 63% of hybrid workers received a pay raise, while 59% of onsite employees and 56% of remote employees received a salary increase. Research shows that hybrid workers have better visibility to leadership, more opportunities to collaborate and increase their skill set more quickly, all of which can shape management's views of contribution and thus raises. Many employees are still not fully on board with the Return to Office (RTO) initiative.

According to Gallup, around 30% of those who work a mix of in-office and remote and the other 60% of fully remote employees, would consider leaving their professions if they did not have the same level of flexibility. This clash between the employee's desires and what the job market demands is causing changes in how workplaces are set up and how salaries are determined.[11]

Employees who work both in the office and remotely should certainly get an equal salary for doing an equal job. It's crucial for companies to watch out for any hidden biases when looking to pay and make sure everyone is being treated impartially. Regularly checking for pay fairness and having clear policies can help prevent any unfair differences that might happen because of where someone works or what opportunities they have [12]

IV.   GLOBAL SCENARIO

A. United Kingdom

In the United Kingdom (UK), hybrid work is mainly governed by existing employment laws, with specific principles emerging from the Flexible Working Regulations 2014. It is as follows:

1. All employees may apply for flexible working arrangements, such as hybrid work, after 26 weeks of continuous employment.

2. Employers have to think about such requests "reasonably", but they can refuse them for legitimate business grounds, for example, not being able to reorganise work among current staff or the outcome on excellence and performance.[13]

According to the studies, 78% of the businesses in the United Kingdom (UK) have permission for hybrid working. Not only is it to conciliate the staff, but it is also a good strategic plan. Businesses discover flexible working arrangements can lower overhead expenses considerably while keeping levels of production at a finest. Large businesses such a Barclays and HSBC are good examples. They have adopted hybrid models allowing employees to split their time between home and workplace.

B. France

France has a clear legal system for telework, which covers hybrid work arrangements as well. Their businesses have to strictly adhere to the laws safeguarding the workers' hours and health, even when they are working at home. These laws guarantee that whatever hybrid work models are adopted operate in accordance with national standards, which include workplace safety and employee welfare. In French Labour Law, Article L1222-9 of the French Labour Code,[14] explains that the employer and employee need a formal agreement for remote work. This agreement includes the terms and conditions under which the remote work will occur, including working hours, the provisions of equipment, and compensation for work related expenses. These agreements ensure that both parties understand their rights and responsibilities in a hybrid work model.

C. Finland

Finland had flexible working long before it became trendy. In 1996, they legislated the Working Hours Act,[15] which permitted workers the right to start or end 3 hours earlier or later than their standard working hours. This meant individuals could take more time with their families and exercise during the day. Further in the year 2020, the Working Hours Act was improved. It now provides the right to choose where and

when to work for at least 50% of working hours to most employees. It means employees can work from anywhere, pick their own schedule.

V. EXISTING LEGAL FRAMEWORK

A. Policy

Working from home (WFH) is not a good fit for everyone because it requires a lot of dedication, self-control and concentration to stay focused and prevent distractions. Many businesses and offices utilise remote work arrangements without providing their staff with the necessary training to function effectively in these environments. The idea of working remotely remains controversial in several nations, despite the growing acceptance of flexible work arrangements.

However, recent trends indicate that working remotely will probably become commonplace in the future. Therefore, companies need to implement flexible workers receive the right direction and training to function well in remote settings. But according to the recent survey, work from home (WFH) policies have become more popular worldwide as a result of economic pressure, technology improvements, and workers' growing need for work-life balance.

WFH is seen by administrations as a way to lower operating costs, improve employee happiness and recollect talent. Flexible scheduling, reduced stress from communicating and enhanced productivity and wellness are all advantages for employees.[16]

B. Legislation Under Indian Labour Law

In India, both the Union Parliament (Federal Legislature) and State Legislature enjoy equal powers to pass laws about labour and employment issues. Employment laws are passed by states. This mainly deals with subject matters concerning an employee's service conditions (e.g., working hours, break hours, leave privileges, overtime pay). State-specific law differs from state to state. Hence, an employer who has allowed its employees to work from home will be expected to observe such. State-specific laws based on where its employees are situated, thus potentially adding operational and administrative expenses.

Considering that an employer might typically analyse whether it is operationally viable for it to allow its employees to 'work from anywhere'.

Indian Labour Law mainly contemplates a physical workplace, and all stipulations of an employee's term of service are worded in relation to it. Because the existing labour law does not acknowledge the principle of remote working, it leaves much grey area. However, the Indian government has just released Draft Model Standing Order for the service Sector, 2020 (the Draft Order) that grants service sector employees employed by industrial establishments having 300 or more employees the right to work from home by their employers.

But the Draft Order does not indicate what the term 'work from home' means and does not grant such employees any enforceable right to work from home. The Indian Government may make further clarifications on this.[17]

C. Current Legal Scenery in India

In India, the hybrid work model is gaining popularity, yet it does not have a specific legal framework or regulations addressing it. Relatively, current employment regulations like the Minimum Wages Act, Employees Provident Funds Act, and the Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code are applicable to hybrid and remote work conditions. The government has taken initial actions toward recognition by making amendments such as liberalising remote work in Special Economic Zones and permitting flexible arrangements under model standing orders-but complete, independent hybrid work legislation is still under development.[18]

Employers need to create clear, comprehensive internal strategies that specify appropriateness for hybrid work, working hours, cybersecurity, measures and performance values. Employment contracts need to be reviewed to incorporate provisions adapted to isolated or hybrid systems to assurance collective understanding and adherence to lawful requirements. The implementation of labour protections like wage payment, health benefits and anti-harassment policies must be reliable, regardless of the working position. Educating managers and staff on hybrid work policies and obedience adopts a productive and lawfully compliant work atmosphere. The implementation of hybrid work in India is influenced by a mix of

current labour regulations, new regulatory guidance, and organisational governance, offering a structure that harmonises flexibility with legal protections, given the lack of clear laws or judicial verdicts.[19]

VI.   CONCLUSION

The study demonstrates that hybrid work models represent a fundamental transformation in contemporary employment structures, offering significant advantages in terms of employee wellbeing, organisational flexibility, and productivity. The post-pandemic shift has accelerated the adoption of flexible work arrangements, making hybrid work a central feature of modern organisational governance rather than a temporary adjustment.

At the same time, the research highlights persistent challenges relating to regulatory ambiguity, cybersecurity risks, occupational safety, data protection, and communication barriers within distributed work environments. The Indian legal framework, while undergoing reform through the Labour Codes and digital governance laws, remains largely premised on the concept of a physical workplace and does not sufficiently accommodate the complexities of hybrid employment.

Comparative analysis of jurisdictions such as the United Kingdom, France, and Finland illustrates that hybrid work can coexist with robust labour protections when supported by coherent legal frameworks and institutional safeguards. The study therefore concludes that sustainable hybrid work ecosystems require systematic legal recognition, adaptive policy design, and integrated organisational strategies that harmonise technological innovation with human-centric governance.

VII.   SUGGESTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Policy and Legislative Reforms

1. **Formulation of a Hybrid Work and Digital Employment Code:** The Government of India should introduce a comprehensive Hybrid Work and Digital Employment Code that legally recognises hybrid employment as a distinct work arrangement. Such legislation should clarify employer and employee obligations relating to working hours, social security,

occupational safety, and data protection, thereby addressing the current legal vacuum and ensuring regulatory uniformity.

2. **Amendments to Labour Codes:** Existing Labour Codes, particularly the Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020 and the Social Security Code, 2020, should be amended to incorporate hybrid work realities. This should include:

- Extension of Employee State Insurance and Provident Fund benefits to hybrid employees.
- Definition of "remote workplace" and employer responsibility for ergonomic safety.
- Clarification of working hours, overtime norms, and occupational safety obligations in non-traditional work environments.

3. **Data Protection and Digital Governance Alignment:** The Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 should be harmonised with hybrid work regulations to ensure privacy, ethical monitoring, and lawful data processing. Employers should be mandated to conduct Data Protection Impact Assessments and implement strict cybersecurity compliance mechanisms.

B. **Organisational and Managerial Recommendations**

1. **Comprehensive Hybrid Work Policy:** Organisations should adopt a Hybrid Work Policy Manual clearly specifying eligibility criteria, flexible scheduling norms, digital security protocols, performance evaluation mechanisms, and equal pay and inclusion measures for both remote and on-site employees.

2. **Employee Wellbeing and Ergonomics:** Employers should promote holistic wellbeing by conducting ergonomic assessments of home workstations, offering digital wellness programmes to address burnout and screen fatigue, and enabling flexible working hours to enhance work-life balance and mental health.

3. **Leadership and Communication Enhancement:** Managers should undergo specialised training to foster inclusive digital communication, ensure transparency, and facilitate equitable participation of remote employees in organisational decision-making.

C. **Technological and Security Infrastructure**

1. **Investment in Secure Digital Systems:** Organisations must adopt encrypted collaboration tools, virtual private networks, and multi-factor authentication systems to safeguard organisational data. Alignment with ISO/IEC 27001 standards will strengthen resilience against cyber threats.

2. **Ethical Monitoring and Productivity Assessment:** Monitoring mechanisms should be output-based rather than surveillance-driven. Transparent communication regarding data collection practices is essential to maintain employee trust and accountability.

D. **Human Resource Development**

1. **Continuous Skill Development:** Organisations should institutionalise digital literacy and hybrid work management training for employees and supervisors, focusing on communication skills, cybersecurity awareness, and time management.

2. **Mentorship and Onboarding Programmes:** Structured mentorship and onboarding frameworks should be developed to ensure that new employees in hybrid systems receive equal exposure to leadership, training, and career development opportunities.

E. **Research, Evaluation, and Benchmarking**

1. **Hybrid Work Impact Assessments:** Academic institutions, corporations, and policy bodies should collaborate to develop standardised performance indicators measuring the long-term effects of hybrid work on productivity, innovation, and employee wellbeing.

2. **Adoption of Global Best Practices:** India may draw regulatory inspiration from:

- The UK Flexible Working Regulations, 2014.
- France's Article L1222-9 of the French Labour Code.
- Finland's Working Hours Act, 2020.

Such comparative benchmarking will assist in developing a coherent, future-ready hybrid work governance framework grounded in international best practices.

VIII.   REFERENCES

1. Code on Wages, 2019, a bill which amends and consolidates the laws relating to wages and bonuses and matters connected therewith or incidental thereto.

2. The Industrial Relations Code, 2020, an act is made to consolidate and amend the laws relating to Trade Unions, conditions of employment in industrial establishments or undertakings, investigation and settlement of industrial disputes and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto.

3. The Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020, an act came into force to consolidate and amend the laws regulating the occupational safety, health and working conditions of the employees in an establishment and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto.

4. The Code on Social Security, 2020, an act to amend and consolidate the laws relating to social security to extend social security to all employees and workers in every sector.

5. Baarez_2025. (2025, April 10). Cybersecurity for Hybrid Workforces: Key Risks & Solutions (2025). *Baarez Technology Solutions*. https://baarez.com/cybersecurity-risks-for-hybrid-workforces-in-2025/

6. Taranekar, P. (n.d.). Reimagining Employee engagement in hybrid work Models: A Strategic approach for sustained productivity and connection. In *IJIRMPS*.

7. Mustajab, D. & Universitas Yapis Papua, Jayapura, Papua, Indonesia. (n.d.). Exploring the effectiveness of remote and hybrid work Policies: A Literature Review on Workforce Management Practices. *A R T I C L E I N F O*.

8. Ahmed, S., & Smith, E. (n.d.). The future of work: adapting to remote and hybrid models. In the Institute of Business Administration (IBA) & Stanford University, USA, *Abbottabad University Journal of Business and Management Sciences: Vol. Jan–Jun* [Journal-article].

9. Kalaivani, E., & Kiruthika, K. (2025). Analysing the Efficiency of Remote and Hybrid Work Policies: A Comprehensive Review of Workforce Management Strategies.

10. Vanitha, N. N., & T, N. D. S. V. (2024). A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW ON IMPACT OF HYBRID WORK CULTURE ON EMPLOYEE JOB ENGAGEMENT AND PRODUCTIVITY - A STUDY OF IT PROFESSIONALS IN KARNATAKA. *EPRA International Journal of Research & Development (IJRD)*, 375–384. https://doi.org/10.36713/epra15360

11. Wigert, B., Harter, J., & Agrawal, S. (2023, October 9). The future of the office has arrived: it's hybrid. *Gallup.com*. https://www.gallup.com/workplace/511994/future-office-arrived-hybrid.aspx

12. Pebl. (2025, April 23). *Are companies secretly paying remote workers less? A look at global pay disparities*. Pebl. https://hellopebl.com/resources/blog/location-based-pay-disparities/

13. Participation, E. (n.d.). *The Flexible Working Regulations 2014*. https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2014/1398

14. French Business Law. (2023, December 2). *Article L1222-9 of the French Labour Code - French Business Law*. https://french-business-law.com/french-legislation-art/article-l1222-9-of-the-french-labour-code/

15. Ministry of Labour, Finland. (2005). *WORKING HOURS ACT (605/1996)*.

16. Timsal, A., Awais, M., Lancaster University, & The University of Sheffield. (2016). Flexibility or ethical dilemma: an overview of the work-from-home policies in modern organisations around the world. *Human Resource*

Management International Digest, 12–15. https://doi.org/10.1108/HRMID-03-2016-0027

17. *Hybrid work model: the future of the workplace in India?* (n.d.). https://www.ibanet.org/hybrid-work-model-future-of-workplace-in-india

18. Goonrey, A., & Soh, M. (2025, October 7). Asia-Pacific employers must be aware of their rights and responsibilities with remote workers. *Pinsent Masons.* https://www.pinsentmasons.com/out-law/analysis/asia-pacific-employers-rights-responsibilities-remote-worker

19. Nagpal, A. (2025, September 2). *Work from Home Policy in India | Comprehensive Guide 2025.* Wisemonk. https://www.wisemonk.io/blogs/work-from-home-policy-in-india